

Eski's Oscillator/Substance Group, 2008-2011

Compiled by
Dean L(eRoy) Sinclair

Copyrighted as a compilation, by Dean L. Sinclair, Sept. 1, 2011

Preface Number One to First Printing of "Eski's Oscillator/Substance Theory Group, 2008-2011"

This "First Printing--" actually the formation of a PDF File and a CD Disc--of the first attempt known to this writer of the conversion of the contents of a Google Group to a coherent external, hard-copy permanent file, will be interesting in the diversity of errors, mistakes and general "boo-boos, " that will be included. It is hoped that these will have the effect of adding a bit of amusement and humanity to the presentation rather than detracting significantly from the amount of very valuable information which is included. As it is intended to forward a copy of this material to the Earth/matriX people who are site members for their consideration for "cleaning up" and publication as a possible hard-bound copy, it is possible that future editions will have many fewer errors and snags.

As will appear in the "Second Preface," this group was founded in Aug. 2008, to explore ideas related to a comprehensive theory of existence. By the Spring of 2011, a great deal of interesting information had accrued, such that there is a finite possibility that some day this little effort will be considered of historical interest, possibly even to the extent of becoming the basis for some Master's Thesis in History of Science Theorizing.... (Note that I say, "Finite chance, " not, "High probability.")

By the Spring of 2011, the situation had changed with Google with respect to "Google Groups" to the extent that it appeared that a great deal of the information submitted to the site might be lost. It was decided to print out the "Pages" as a separate "Book," from the Zip Drive file that Google offered at that time. This led to complications to the point that this compiler did some checking and decided that it might be best to try to pull out the entire file, as the site was not receiving active posts to any extent, with the problem of the shaky status and--probably--personal situations with the people who had been the contributors of the majority of articles.

This has resulted in this "Publication," which includes not only the "Pages," but, also, as much as this person could retrieve of the rest of the contents of the site. As this will run to some 300 pages or so, it is still intended to extract the "Pages," as a much smaller, more easily readable, "one-sitting" tome which will cover much of the information included.

This writer is, also, projecting two other publications which will include much of this material with additions from other sources in two books. One working title is "A Framework for the Physical Sciences" which is projected as an expansion of this writer's science and mathematics essays, including not only material that has developed here; but, also essays which have been published elsewhere, or have not been previously published.

The other working title is, "Shards of Cracked Pottery, Essays of a Ph.D.--PWT" which is a more light-hearted approach and is expected to include a variety of kinds of essays varying from a poetic protest against the ridiculous, hazardous, low-visibility, red and white stop signs, to some of this material....

These latter books may not make it to publication as the writer is to the age when his life expectancy is "two years, forever," and he has no idea when the two years will run out... To one writer, who noted that most Lefties do not make it into their 73rd year, he has already run into some seven years of "overtime." D. Sinclair, Aberdeen, SD, USof A Sept. 1, 2011.

PREFACE II. Site Welcome and "VISITOR LETTER"

Welcome to an experiment. This site is an attempt to recruit serious comment in development, or refutation. of ideas as to the basis of reality.

The status of the ideas of the "Founder of this Site" are covered in the page, "The Current Paper..."and in other pages posted on the site. Perhaps the quickest overview is in the short "page," OLD DATA/NEW MODEL.

This is an open site, feel free to express your opinions and to add information. (I shall reserve the right to edit out anything which I consider as "garbage" or personality comments that are not pertinent.)
Dean L. Sinclair Group Founder/Manager

A

LETTER TO SITE VISITORS

Dear Visitor:

Here is the situation. At this time, the Oscillator/Substance Model seems to be the simplest--yet most inclusive--model of Existence yet to appear.

In its present form, it suggests Existence to be within a substance of undetermined---possibly indeterminable--size, which is organized into and/or by oscillators of a "constant torque" family defined by the equation, $m \times r = h/c$. This little equation, mass times radius equals Planck's Constant divided by the Speed of Light, can describe any oscillator from smaller than an electron to a Universe.

The examination of the ideas over the last five years, ^(new seven) as the model has evolved, indicates that the model should be able to correlate data from below the atomic level to the cosmological scale. It needs further development and testing.

as the Space-Time Model implies in its name something moving in cycles, someone familiar with Einsteinian Space-Time Mathematical Modelling most likely would be able to reconcile the two approaches to mutual profit. Likewise, it would seem that the concepts might well allow expansion/extension of the Quantum Mechanical approach, perhaps with some understanding of the physical basis of the model.

Dr. Mills Grand Unification Theorem may possibly fit more closely than it at first appears to. Dominion Cosmology which postulates Matter/Antimatter repulsion is some what echoed in the splitting of oscillators that arises naturally in O/S Modelling.

One of the biggest and most difficult areas that needs be reconciled is the Elements, Isotopes, Periodic Chart Situation. By O/S. although these, ideas are useful and valuable, they also are somewhat in error, being-- at least partially--responsible for the splitting of molecular chemistry from nuclear chemistry.

O/S suggests strongly that the "nuclear atom" is a logical structural result of the differences in size and motion characteristics of the electron and the proton, and that the presence of neutrons, as such, in the vast

majority of atoms, is an illusion. Therefore, there is a need for a complete revision of chemical thinking to account for the existence of "Elements." This is to say, without the handy bookkeeping afforded by the proton/neutron concept of atomic nuclei. (Note added, April 5, 2010. Re: The Periodic Chart Problem. Recent contacts between "Eski" and two researchers who have extensive research in correlation of information related to the Periodic Chart, suggest that this area is being "waded into." When results are ready for publication, contact information will be posted here. At this point, it seems possible to say, "Nuclear chemistry is an interesting branch of Inorganic Chemistry on the sub-atomic scale, The various isotopes may very well eventually be traced to a simple molecular cation..." Oh, yes, also, there are indications that "Cold Fusion" may very well be the "Future Energy Source of Mankind," and it may be possible to develop an "Energy Pump," based on Helium 4 and its relationship to Beryllium 8.)

The Standard Model of Particle Physics which won a Nobel Prize in the 1970's. needs to be critically re-examined. The probable true nature of "Quarks" as an observational phenomenon rather than actual entities needs to be demonstrated. It needs to be worked out where the "fundamental particles" that arise from "atom-smashing" fit in. (It has been suggested that some of these may be based on the "Positronium atom," rather than the Hydrogen atom or some combination of the two.) In other words, the Standard Model needs to be dismantled, keeping what parts are valid and discarding those which turn out to be illusions.

At the Cosmological Scale reconsideration of stars, constellations, even our Universe, itself, as oscillators and combinations of oscillators should lead to new analyses and insights.

It might be a good idea to redo a set of "Universal Values," ala Max Planck, updating and refining the concept.

Gravitation and electromagnetism need to be relegated to their proper positions as observational phenomena. (Seeing the "Four Forces of Nature" as aspects of one "Substance" greatly simplifies things.)

The simple O/S approach has implications for literally every area of scientific theorisation. Its development is far too big a job for any one person. This is particularly true when the discoverer, current "major developer," is at an age when time is rapidly catching up/running out.

It is hoped that some younger people will pick up on the ideas and be able to develop, extend or even refute them... The writer would like to see this model either generally validated or refuted within his lifetime. I hope that you will find the information in this site interesting and informative.
Dean L(eRoy) Sinclair (BA, MS, PhD)

Additional note:

Even if your science background was limited to a high school basic science class, or less, we still need your help to keep us "grounded." Most of us "Scientist Types" tend to use so much jargon as to be incomprehensible to anyone except our closest colleagues.

PPS. Try browsing the titles of the pages. There is quite a diversity of things there, You might find a title that would interest you. I still do, even though I wrote most of them.

I'll let you in on a little secret. I have probably as broad a science background as 99/100 people; but, most "advanced" scientific papers are a complete "Snow Job" to me, too. So, read our stuff, tell us what you "hear" us saying, Let us know what you think.

. Don't go away, we need all the help we can get...

. DLS aka "Eski," "The Oldster," and various unmentionable sorbiquets....

PPPS. If you do have advanced scientific background, we can use help in a multitude of topics. For instance, I suspect that the math. of Space-Time theory will fit in because of the inherent implication of movement and circular motion in the concept of time; however, I do not have the expertise in the particular mathematics used therein to correlate that set of concepts to the ones used here. It would be great if someone could do that. Same thing holds for Quantum Mechanics.

Actually, here I am only re-emphasizing what I implied in the "Letter..."

BON VOYAGE, wherever you go from here...

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more

deansinclair@gmail.com

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

+ Theory

*A "Reverse Contents,"
The "First" topic is actually
the last, chronologically, hence
the last on the disc
or data file.*

Search this group

Search Groups

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)Topics 1 - 10 of 104 [Older »](#)

A "Rant " I posted to another site that someone may find interesting.

On a Coherent Theory, a "Rant." Let me introduce myself, for those who do not personally know me, my name is Dean LeRoy Sinclair. I am a 79 year old, former science teacher whose background includes a Ph.D. in Organic Chemistry, Mathematics through... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - May 25 - 1 message - [Report as spam](#)

VALUE OF INFORMATION ON THIS SITE

Dear Reader.: Google has removed the welcome message and the pages fro this group, inadvertently destroying its usefulness to most readers. To get maximum value, rather quickly, find the paper, "Essentials of O/S" listed in the discussions, It is on about the second page of "Discussions.... [more »](#)

By ESKI (deansinclair@gmail.com) - May 10 - 2 messages - [Report as spam](#)

Reality and math. short comment

Reality and Mathematical Definitions We consider mathematics as a model for reality. Perhaps it would be worth while to consiee4 how certain mathematical definitions fit as we look at the physical world. First, let us look at the concept of Zero, usually considered as the symbol of nothingness. However, is this true? Zero is the starting... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Mar 14 - 1 message - [Report as spam](#)

Comparison of pressure initiated reactions and cmns.

T This appears on another site, the results are intersting from our view point... [\[link\]](#)

By dean sinclair - Mar 10 - 1 message - [Report as spam](#)

Rumoured Soviet Weapons, Death Ray and Hammer

If as I suspect, the matter-anti-matter duality noted in the Bsubs units is actually common throughout existence even in electrons and protons, then it may well be that the rumoured weapons could have been developed by technologists who, unhampered by theoretical considerations, simply applied greater and greater accelerative forces to electron... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Mar 1 - 1 message - [Report as spam](#)

Comment on Schroedinger Equatiion, Also. Happy New Year

Here is my " take" on the Schroedinger Equation, the basis of Quantum Mechanics. The first element of the Equation notes a wave function involving the second derivative with respect to time , apparently of Kinetic Energy. The first derivative of Kinetic Energy is Momentum,, and the second derivative, the... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Dec 30 2010 - 1 message - [Report as spam](#)

A bit of update from Eski.

Apparently Google has backed off from blocking access to current content on our "pages," that is good news. There is one bit of personal news. Although i do not yet have Internet access except through public computers, at least not useable access, i do now have ove of those little toys that Americans seem to... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Dec 10 2010 - 1 message - [Report as spam](#)

Elements from "Empty Space," yep, looks like it's accidentally been done; and they darn near killed themslves doing it.

Home

Discussions

+ new post

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[BlackBerry® Official Site](#)

Find More, Know More, Do More.

Enhance Your Life With BlackBerry.
[BlackBerry.com](#)[How To Track A Cell Phone](#)Read Texts, Track GPS & Call
Logs.See Which Phones Are Supported
Now.[InquireHow.com](#)[See your message here...](#)

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)> [Physics](#)[Science and Technology](#)> [Chemistry](#)[Science and Technology](#)[change categories](#)[More group info »](#)

Researchers,posting on a closed Internet site have reported that, in a Cavitation Collapse experiment, they produced--among other things--a melange of elements, consistent with what they called a "Nova Event." They also report that they became very ill. The symptoms are of radiation poisoning. They also note that later checking showed a "neutron burst."... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Dec 1 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Fwd: Copy of Framework II

----- Forwarded message ----- ...To: deanlsincl...@gmail.com I've shared Copy of Framework II<[link](#)> Message from deanlsincl...@gmail.com:Click to open: - Copy of Framework II<[link](#)>... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Nov 17 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Google is closing our "Pages" section. S~~h~~AVE the "Pages Library?!"

Google is going to close down access to "Pages" as of Feb. 1 That will destroy our "Library." I suggest that you-all might want to transfer the "Pages" from this site, en masse, to a file on your home computers. I'm going to try to move the material to a companion OscillatorSubstance Site, but am not sure how to do it. Anyone want... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Oct 26 2010 - [4 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[+ New post](#)

1 - 10 of 104 « [Newer](#) | [Older](#) »

[XML](#)

Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more

deanlsinclair@gmail.com

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)[« Newer](#) Topics 11 - 20 of 104 [Older »](#)

Fwd: Vigier AIP Paper Submission. Correspondence, I give up on this one. I blew it...

Geez, people, I hate to admit being a "Quitter" but this one I give up on . Will post my current version of the paper that I'm giving up on because of publication technicalities and time constraints. I'ts been an expensive learning experience for an old man.ESKI Here is my latest correspondence re the paper with the Symposium... [more »](#)
By dean sinclair - Oct 12 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

FW: [Vo]:FZ-Quantum Transition-LENR-Podkletnov-Casimir

Dean: The following is another 'short' that I 'woke-up' with this morning. . . Cheers; have a good Autumn!~Jack~ aka Jack Harbach O'Sullivan/O'Suilleabhain * * *FRANK ZNIDARSIC & QUANTUM TRANSITION re: LENR/Podkletnov corollary* * *
Quantum Transition root-ingress-Plasma as original pre-atomic energy state a la Frank Znidarsic... [more »](#)
By Jack O Suilleabhain - Oct 6 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Matter-Anti-matter and the possible HH+ --> D+ transform.

Fairly recently it has been shown that certain entities known as Beta sub s in Standard Model Parlance undergo Matter-to-Anti-matter oscillation.... It is not inconceivable that this type of oscillation is general rather than confined to this type of special case.I The consequences of such a supposition are interesting. Let us look at... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Sep 23 2010 - [4 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

View this page "Essentials of O/S"

This is rather long, about 23 pages. However, I felt it should be in the file, in fact, I had thought that it was.... DS Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Aug 23 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)*See Item 33*

Matter-Anti-matter Annihilation

Hi, everybody, I have a question that I need an answer for, It is: IS THERE ACTUALLY, ANYWHERE, A VERIFIABLE CASE OF MATTER-ANTI-MATTER ANNIHILATION AT THE LEVEL OF THE HYDROGEN ATOM OR ABOVE? It seems to be dogma that "Matter" and "Anti-matter" annihilate on contact to revert to pure "Energy."... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Jul 27 2010 - [7 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Fwd: Can someone else read a script into the 1500 slot schedule so that there isn't a "blank" space where I'm supposed to be?

People, here is the e-mail that I sent to the Symposium director when he wrote, "Sorry to disappoint after..." DS ----- Forwarded message ----- ...there isn't a "blank" space where I'm supposed to be? To: noet...@mindspring.com Dr. Amoroso,; Assuming that the "Internal Problems" of which you speak are... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Jul 15 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Vigier VII Symposium

Hello again, Dr. Sinclair, In your prompt, inconsiderate, dismissive and unacceptable response to the below paragraph, which I ask that you read in your upcoming Vigier VII Symposium address. An address that you asked all members to help you with as

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Alternative Energy - BP](#)

See how BP's advanced technologies

are expanding energy production.

[www.bp.com/energymix](#)[What is Quantum Jumping?](#)Discover Why Thousands of People are "Jumping" to Change Their Life [www.QuantumJumping.com](#)[Plasma Fume Collectors](#)

Capture Plasma Cutting Fumes With

A Farr Industrial Dust Collector [www.FarrAPC.com](#)[See your message here...](#)

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)[> Physics](#)[Science and Technology](#)[> Chemistry](#)[Science and Technology](#)[change categories](#)[More group info »](#)

I did below: It is my pleasure to welcome the Vigier VII Symposium to the Aberdeen...
[more »](#)

By [hoek](#) - Jul 2 2010 - [5 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

ADDENDUM TO TALK

In thinking about it, particularly realizing that the criticism, "You are asking an awful lot!!!" (In a justifiable criticism of the temerity of an admittedly average person operating rather jokingly as a "pretend genius" daring to say, in effect, "some of the geniuses were possibly wrong, Eski, has decided that he should probably go...
[more »](#)

By [ESKI](#) - Jun 22 2010 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

REPEAT of INFINITE VARIABLES - Reply to ESKI.

... * Never believe this of yourself Eski... nor of me! ... * It has been no secret between us that I have almost completed a Report/Book. I try to share 'snippets' but cannot give it all away... yet. OK ? ... * If I am, I am sorry. I personally know what I am talking about and the link is to you all and the Oscillation Substance Theory. You know... [more »](#)

By [ka-sala](#) - Jun 19 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

View this page "Possible Script for VigierVIIITalk"

Am posting a possible script as a "page" on this site. Want to know what the people of this Group think about this version. I'm finding it more difficult than I thought it would be to condense the last three years of discoveries, even the most basic, into a thirty-minute, oral presentation.... [more »](#)

By [ESKI](#) - Jun 10 2010 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[+ New post](#)

11 - 20 of 104 [« Newer](#) | [Older »](#)

[XML](#)

Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more

deanlsinclair@gmail.com

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)[« Newer](#) Topics 21 - 30 of 104 [Older »](#)

MECHANISM

We all find ourselves existing in a wondrous realm of three spatial and one forward moving dimension of time. This last dimension allows cause, effect and logic, allows motion within the other three spatial dimensions, which allows MECHANISM! Mechanism allows biological life. Even, though, it won the Nobel Prize for nuclear physics, back in... [more »](#)

By hoek - Jun 4 2010 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Attention 'Reporter'

Interested as to why you joined this group ? ka-sala

By ka-sala - Jun 2 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

"Reporter" dropped in and out. Never posted anything.

REPEAT of " INFINITE VARIABLES " Wishing you what you so desperately seek.

*** The reason for this repeat is because this is where we are all standing. On the same pin-point. I said I believe we have the key, and the gravity of this O/S jig-saw. I have not repeated in these simple words for nothing. Best wishes to all. Can anyone see this while we are together ? In our Oscillation Theory, a lot has been said lately regarding... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Jun 2 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Matter--Anti-matter

Merhaba, arkadashlar, Long time me watch Group. Sorry, hear lose old Eski. I use Eskiadam name, think maybe time i go try join group. Old Eski he say if anti-lectron anti-matter, so be proton, so if the electron matter then so be anti-proton if so be-- maybe atom weight be total of all anti... [more »](#)

By eskia...@mail.com - Jun 2 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Trying to get Eski back some contacts.

Luckily for him, Eski and I have a side contact which was not through Google. He tells me that the lock out from the deanlsinclair@gmail address has lost him the exact addresses to two very valuable contacts, "Charles" and "Herringbone." He says that there is a member of the Group that is an associate of "Charles" and that "Herringbone" has also been in contact with... [more »](#)

By hugh vreeland - Jun 1 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Looks like we may be "orphans"

It looks like this sight may be a small "family of orphans" with out a parent or any way to add new members. Although this group is supposed to be open membership, to be able to keep out useless spam, the managers set up a situation to monitor first submissions. That worked fine as long as we had manager's.... [more »](#)

By Hugh V - May 25 2010 - [5 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

An old essay that might be of interest.

Hi, folks, Looking over my old documents of about three years ago, I ran into this little essay which I apparently wrote back when Osc/sub theory was Motion in a Matrix The article I'm referring to would have to be Doc's original Motion in a matrix paper, the trial balloon on Helium. Have fun!...Hugh... [more »](#)

By hugh vreeland - May 19 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Download Google Toolbar](#)Create Custom Browser Buttons, Block Pop-Ups & More For Free. [toolbar.google.com](#)[Cold Fusion](#)Learn About Cold Fusion Now. Get Tips Today From [HealthyNow.com!](#) [healthynow.com](#)[The 2012 Ford Fusion](#)

To Drive One Is to Love One.

Compare the 7 Bold Fusion Models. [www.Ford.com/Fusion](#)[See your message here...](#)

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)[> Physics](#)[Science and Technology](#)[> Chemistry](#)[Science and Technology](#)[change categories](#)[More group info »](#)

The fluid theorem...

To Mr. Sinclair, According to my own theories, one universe cannot exist without another. Let me explain. You mentioned the circular motion of matter/ anti-matter. Think of the "first" universe as the middle. Imagine the distance between universes (do they exist separate from each other?) as spots on the water. If a ripple happens in one, let's say... [more »](#)

By heycollin - May 7 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

FW: *NASA: David Adair's 'Quasi-Fusion:' ?Cold, Warm, Hot?

Provenance: Werner Von Braun, Hermann Oberth, David Adair-NASA Adv.Prop.Res.Prct., Wu Yeong Wei(Andy Wu), R.A.-Ned-Allen, Whitt Brantley-NASA Adv.Prop.Res.Prjct., Marc Rayman JPL-NASA. -blame Jack Harbach O'Sullivan:-) Re comment: THUSWISE realizing this 'model' for expanding-dilating Protons(as micro-singularities) enhances the description of NOT ONLY CHEMICAL REACTIONS but also of FUSION REACTION be they 'cold,' warm, or hot.... [more »](#)

By Jack O Suileabhain - May 10 2010 - [5 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

View this page "Congruent Parallelogram Theorum "

Hi, Everybody, This paper is a bit more mathematical than most of what I have posted here; but, some people may find it interesting. As usual comment is invited. ESKI Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't... [more »](#)

By ESKI - May 4 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[+ New post](#)

21 - 30 of 104 [« Newer](#) | [Older »](#)

[XML](#)

Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more ▾

deanlsinclair@gmail.com ▾

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)[« Newer](#) Topics 31 - 40 of 104 [Older »](#)

News to the group. Re: Vigier VII Symposium. The Search for...

Folks, get your thinking caps on and your extensions of the ideas together, as it looks like old Eski, himself, is going to have to try to be the group representative at the first presentation of our work in to more or less the main stream by a remote hook up from here in Aberdeen, to London, sometime in the July 10-14 period... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Apr 27 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

A Caveat, I may just be another deluded crackpot. Here is one person's opinion.

The following is an excerpt of an exchange between myself and a theoretical physicist/mathematician who has, to say the least, a low opinion of this whole thing. ...be > fooling around with such things? A kid playing with grown-ups toys > that he doesn't understand? ...be > around to dream....DS Dream all you like, but what you're doing is both logically &... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Apr 10 2010 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Fwd: Essentials of O

----- Forwarded message ----- ...To: amylaverick...@aol.com, Earth/matrix <earthmat...@gmail.com>, drsdcm <drs...@cinci.rr.com> Here is a twenty three page write up that I did a few weeks ago on my home computer, finally got it from there to a form that I can put on the Internet. Yes, I don't really know my way around this technology as well as... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Apr 6 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

An old item i don't think i shared It's about "Energy."

Must be round a year ago, that Eski published a bit on SciScoop and a man who went by Barak ridiculed him. This was my take.. The topic is somewhat peripheral to o/s theorizing, but, then, o/s is supposed to be inclusive. Since i'm in a send stuff to the group mood today, here is this one, if you've seen it before, it won't hurt too much to see it again.... [more »](#)

By hugh vreeland - Apr 6 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Abridged summary of oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com - 1 Message in 1 Topic

This is not a direct reply to today's topic, but, I am too lazy to retype the address. A new topic could be started on Graphing with Signed Numbers, i suppose. A few days ago i tried something; Eski always tries to keep things too simple, he works only with absolute values, although I'm sure he realizes that we could use signed numbers. If i... [more »](#)

By hugh vreeland - Apr 6 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

O/S and Light (Copy of body of a letter to another scientist.)

Looking at my site, it appears that the closest that I came to addressing the topic of light, and then not by name, was the article, "Quantization, a 3-D Merrigoround?" Since analyzing electromagnetic waves as information carrier is a basis of the model, I have been remiss! As you will be studying light, maybe you can... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Apr 5 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

The One Force of Nature. Also, some miscellaneous progress.

Hi, Everybody, Here is a little post that I just put on another site that is pertinent to us.

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Measure Vibration Easily](#)
Quality Vibration Meters.
Next Day Delivery. Call Now.
[www.balmacinc.com](#)

[EMC Velocity Program](#)
Expand your SMB Wallet. Sell
EMC's

[New VNXe Unified Storage. Free
Paper](#)
[www.emc.com/sellvnx](#)

[11 Hour Physics DVD Tutor](#)
Quality Lectures & Hundreds of
Step

[By Step Examples. Save 20%
Today!](#)
[MathTutorDVD.com](#)

[See your message here...](#)

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)[> Physics](#)[Science and Technology](#)[> Chemistry](#)[Science and Technology](#)[change categories](#)[More group info »](#)

"... the required force is present based on Nature resisting the concentration of energy." This quote, cut from a previous writer, is the thing that no one seems actually to realize. This defines the one and only true "Force" that there is.... [more »](#)
By ESKI - Mar 29 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Notification.

Please Note. Due to moving house... It 'maybe' I am off the net for a while. If any do not receive a reply - or wish to do so - please carry on as normal, and I will be able to see, when again opened. Best wishes to you all, ka-sala
By ka-sala - Mar 7 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

BALANCE... an OSCILLATING SCIENCE in Velocity and Time.

*** A repeat with a twist, of going back to the future, and finding the answer was already there. Lost in the maze of equations and theory. Analogy. Using the Earth. Something no-one has been able to duplicate in time and space! 'As above... so below.' Balance. *** Quote. 'Truth is ever to be found in the simplicity, and not in... [more »](#)
By ka-sala - Mar 7 2010 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

'Get Back in Time.' Back to the future. How Light Speed makes Time Travel possible.

Having scanned everything submitted, the who of what essentials being sought, is lost in the swamp of theorizes and equations, regardless of where they first originated. This is just a repeat - a down to earth one - in the going back to the future in order for the sum total of equalization the O/S theory for which ESKI - the owner of... [more »](#)
By ka-sala - Feb 13 2010 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[+ New post](#)

31 - 40 of 104 [« Newer](#) | [Older »](#)

[XML](#)

Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more

deanlsinclair@gmail.com

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)« [Newer](#) Topics 41 - 50 of 104 [Older](#) »

Errors and Omissions of the CODATA: The Planck Constants

I, Everybody, Please, consider my recent work entitled, "Errors and Omissions of the CODATA and the Planck Constants Based on the Fundamental Physical Constants". In this brief study, selected CODATA fundamental physical constants are researched in an effort to identify the implied computational foundations that serve as a basis for deriving the Planck constants.... [more](#) »

By ollin - Feb 5 2010 - [9 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Dark-Energy Einstein-Rosen/Cern-NDR path ID.prjct-

The Cern/International NDR-Pathway-Identification project plots 'naturally occurring' Einstein-Rosen transtemporal/transdimensional pathways though Dark-Energy Trans-Space This is the Holy Grail of contemporary String/Membrane theorists. The NDR-ID. project is the cutting edge medium for the diverse theories to find their legitimate expression in by consolidating their efforts in this hard technology application.... [more](#) »

By Jack O Suileabhain - Dec 28 2009 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Dolphin-like'pods' swim Aethyrs/WinterSolsticeEveDream2009i

****Winter Solstice Eve's all night Dream//2009**** I dreamed all night even-after returning to interrupted sleep of a constant migration to Planet Terra of Silver Dolphin-like Spirit-Soul swimming the Aethyr-Seas. Our Planet in the Aethyr-AexoDarkSeas is a beacon of concentration's of sentients and our eco-system has a brilliant Avatar-Archetype energy matrix of the full kaleidoscopic-evolutionary array of all of the planet's myriad symbiosis of species of which Homo-Sapien-Sapien is one.... [more](#) »

By Jack O Suileabhain - Dec 21 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Abor-Empathic-Resonance/DREAMTIME-Umbilicus-Phoenix Portal

... *The 'time' is Now & inexorable//ready or not~here it comes!* ~Title: Oscillatorsubstance-Christmas/Hanukkah/Phoenix-Millennium-Eve for Homo-Sapiens-Noviensis~ Ref: *** ABORIGINAL-Empathic-Resonance/DREAMTIME-Umbilicus-Phoenix Portal *** From the Norse Valhalla proto-Kaballah 'Tree of Live-Yggdrasil' across Northern Europe to the Super/Quasi-Celtic-Norse-&-Asian super-civilization of Shamballah in Northern Mongolia(now recently discovered in the sands from 'twenty-thousand years ago very well preserved); the Tree of Life Archetype had penetrated down into Ancient Egypt from which arose the 'Allah-Kaballah' to Aakhenaton/Winged-Disk mono-theistic Super-Membrane-Unity traditions. And from ancient 'Shamballah-Mongolia' the 'Tree of Life' conceptual matrix also had migrated from across the Pacific to the Super-Civilizations of MesoAmerica which form the ancient Aztlan/Aztlantus Pyrimid Super-Civilization incorporating all of the ancient America's of the Toltecs-Olmecs Mayas-Aztecs etc. These also connected across the Atlantic to form a vital link back to Egypt that from 20 thousand years ago again was descendent of the ancient Shamballah super-Tree-of-Life culture. And the global circuit was complete and doing its work trans-culturally leading to this final planetary Phoenix-Metamorphosis that the Maya & others had so profoundly predicted.... [more](#) »

By Jack O Suileabhain - Dec 19 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Large Hadron Collider starts again(video)

Everybody should see this... [\[link\]](#)

(Spam)

By JimmNorton - Nov 22 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

Constant Contact @

Join 400,000+ Small Businesses Who Choose Us for Email Marketing.
www.ConstantContact.com

Alternative Energy

BP is investing in business and technology to deliver clean energy.
www.bp.com/energymix

[See your message here...](#)

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)[> Physics](#)[Science and Technology](#)[> Chemistry](#)[Science and Technology](#)[change categories](#)[More group info](#) »

Some interesting basic math....

Hi, Everybody, I'm back with a bit of my "Crackedpottery that just might hold a little water somewhere"..I beg your indulgence... Jack O' , on the O/S site has proposed that there should be adopted some sort of a basic quantum for quantum mechanical calculations. Assuming that a "basic quantum" might correspond to the lowest... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Nov 12 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

A Brief Future of TIME - Sorry for 2nd. Post. Typo-Fix done

Response to J/O *** <DISCLAIMER for DELICATE FLOWERS in the AUDIENCE: !Warning! This is 'not' intended as 'shouting' so that the less emotionally resilient amongst the audience should 'not' get their knickers-in-a-wad-about it. Thankyou for your indulgence.-JO- > & / Warning! Insult to O/S 'Contributors' - not audience - personal... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Nov 10 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

A Brief Future of TIME

For: Dean L. Sinclair Title: 'A Brief Future of TIME' Sub-title: 'Spin Oscillating Inversion-Spheres with Spheres/Wheels within Wheels at Time's END' DISCLAIMER for DELICATE FLOWERS in the AUDIENCE: !Warning! Limited usage of CAPS; this is merely a 'punctuation device' to facilitate extended-emphasis as a mere alternate-symbol-function-of my 'limited' key-board. This is 'not' intended as 'shouting' so that the less emotionally resilient amongst the audience should 'not' get their knickers-in-a-wad-about it. Thankyou for your indulgence.-JO-... [more »](#)

By Jack O Suileabhain - Nov 1 2009 - [4 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

BAE&Aeospace/DarkEnergyPlasmaBreachReactor:Right on 'Space-TIME.'

RE: Singularity/DarkEnergy-Physics//BAE-Constant Revised Planck-Einstein Constant//Green Bubble Universe within DarkEnergy/DarkSpace Aeosverse//Jack O'Suileabhain Fusion-Gate/Aeospace/DarkEnergyPlasmaBreachReactor:Right on 'Space-TIME.' * * * ? Which Nations shall be pre-eminent in these DarkEnergy Tech R&D projects;... [more »](#)

By Jack O Suileabhain - Oct 25 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

*NEWTON left hitch-hiking~:-) ConceptCraft Prospectus: Quantum-Gravionic Point-Lead Focused Hyper-GravThrust

Respectfully submitted to Dean L. Sinclair & Oscillator-Substance Theory Group
BOSE-EINSTEIN SUPER CONDUCTOR TOROID RING REACTOR access DARK-ENERGY/parallel-DARK SPACE aka 'TACHYON CARRIER-WAVE SPACE' * * *
The RACE is AFOOT in DarkEnergy Technology R&D and the LOSERS will be LOSING for a VERY LONG TIME * * * as per 'TIME' in the aspect... [more »](#)

By Jack O Suileabhain - Oct 25 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[+ New post](#)

41 - 50 of 104 [« Newer](#) | [Older »](#)

[XML](#)

Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

[Gmail](#) [Calendar](#) [Documents](#) [Photos](#) [Reader](#) [Sites](#) [Web](#) [more](#)

[Sign in](#)

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)

[« Newer](#) [Topics 51 - 60 of 104](#) [Older »](#)

You cannot post messages because only members can post, and you are not currently a member.

Description: Discussion of Oscillator/Substance Theory and its relationship to other theories. The theory assumes that all reality exists in a substance at its triple point consisting of separable oscillators.

*TachyonCarrierWaveDARKENERGY~IS~PARALLEL(parent) AExoDarkSpace

-Respectfully submitted- *PARALLEL(parent) DarkSpace~IS~TACHYONCarrierWaveDARKENERGY *** TACHYON SPEED-DENSITY CARRIER-WAVE SPACE is 'AEXOVERSAL SUPER-COSMOS DARKSPACE' and is most simply DARKENERGY SPACE: This is 'high-speed-density' space whose 'density' is hyper-fluidic at density that makes 'mass' seem like vapor and whose 'relative-speed' moves at rates that makes vast distance beyond the span of 'several universes' crossable virtually INSTANTANEOUSLY. This is the 'parent' of 'Spooky action at a Distance.'... [more »](#)

By Jack O Suileabhain - Oct 25 2009 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

*CernHadron-Explosion&Quantum-Grav-Lev-GRAY-JET/(DarkEnergy) SubSingularity-REACTOR-ONLINE/HADRON Modified-'Super-Collider?'aka Plasma Breach Reactor access 'TIME' & far UNIVERSE

Respectfully submitted to Dean L. Sinclair & Oscillator-Substance Theory Group ** * The CERN reactor explosion resulted from a DEFACTO-PLASMA BREACH BLEED THROUGH vortex/eddy quasi-worm-gate-holing FROM PARALLEL----->TACHYON-CARRIER-WAVE(aka) 'Full-DarkEnergy-Torsion Waves'> ('DARKENERGY-TACHYON CARRIER WAVE PLASMA-DARK SPACE').< The bleed-through Dark-space plasma-breach inadvertently occurred within the EYE OF THE high-EM-giga-density//electro-plasmic INDUCTION RING-ARRAY SUPER-MAGNETS. This created a SPONTANEOUS DarkEnergy-TachyonSpace induced 'EVOLUTION' of HYDROGEN & HELIUM. This describes the function of the GRAY-JET subSINGULARITY REACTOR which can be fairly easily affected by adding BOSE-EINSTEIN SUPERCONDUCTOR main-core RING replacing the super-collider open ring-track of a more compact version similar to the general design of current SUPER-COLLIDER TECHNOLOGY, but with a far different end function creating a GRAY-JET ELECTROPLASMIC-SINGULARITY cross-spectrum/cross-dimensional TOROID-VORTEX quasi-worm-hole DARKENERGY bleed-through gate REACTOR.... [more »](#)

By Jack O Suileabhain - Oct 25 2009 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

NewAtomModel-SingularityPhysics

-Alternate 'Atom' Model//BAE-Constant//DarkEnergy-Singularity Physics-per Jack O'Suileabhain/O'Sullivan(English) *** Fusion-Gate/Plasma Breach Hyper-Gravionic Fusion Sustaining Reactors// aka Gray-Jet subSingularity Hyper-Plasma Gate Reactor ***** Quantum-Electron Shell//Fields are the same hyper-gravionic lobe/field that the Plasma-Breach Reactor exhibits. The Russian's made the reactor and did it, thusly are recently boasting of 'Gravity Manipulation' and creating electro-plasmic/hypergravionic lobe fields that can interdict aircraft & missiles and stress their superstructures so violently that they are virtually 'ripped from the skys.' ***... [more »](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

[About this group](#)

[Join this group](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Alternative Energy - BP](#)

See how BP's advanced technologies are expanding energy production. www.bp.com/energymix

[Renewable Energy Courses](#) and [Renewable Energy Courses Near](#)

You. Online Courses Also Available. www.Energy.DegreeLeap.com

[Kaplan Fox Investigates](#)

Miller Energy Resources, Inc. for Potential Securities Law Violations www.kaplanfox.com

[See your message here...](#)

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)

> [Physics](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

> [Chemistry](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

[More group info »](#)

By Jack O Suileabhain - Oct 25 2009 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

BAEconstant//Planck'sConstantRevised

Respectfully submitted to Dean L. Sinclair & Oscillator-Substance Theory Group
Author: Jack O'Suilleabhain/O'Sullivan- For formal submission to: Max Planck
Institute--> M...@Mpe.mpg.de <&> R...@mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de <&>Oscillator-
Substance-Theory group <&> vorte...@eskimo.com <-- From Jack O'Suilleabhain
(eng."O'Sullivan) aka Jack 'Harbach' O'Sullivan... [more »](#)

By Jack O Suileabhain - Oct 25 2009 - [3 new of 3 messages](#)

What you want Fun Guy?

Check you are in right place otherwise you in wrong place.

By ka-sala - Oct 20 2009 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

O/S, Space-Time and QM

Oscillator/Substance Model, Space-Time and QM. The Oscillator/Substance Model
seems to be more general than the "Accepted Models" of Space-Time and Quantum
Mechanics. A close look at Space-Time shows that the name, itself, suggests
"substance" and, as is pointed out in the paper, "Why Einstein Was... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Sep 28 2009 - [2 new of 2 messages](#)

Invitation to Video SEX Chat

I am commenting on this as an example of the sort of thing that is a total waste of
time on this site which is a site dedicated to a scientific research project. It has no
need for discussions of human sexuality, human taboos and such material, which
may be of interest to many people but has no value here. I am marking Helga as "no
post."... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Sep 28 2009 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

TAKE NOTE - NOTIFICATION OF BANNING MEMBERS TO THIS SITE.

Any 'members' trying to get in the back door to this O/S site will be banned. Those
who already have, have been reported. Take what you want elsewhere. It has
nothing to do with the reputable members of the O/S Theory. USE YOUR MINDS!
You are not wanted here.

By ka-sala - Sep 26 2009 - [2 new of 2 messages](#)

View this page "Some Constants of the Universe?"

I've republished some data that was in another paper with a little different slant on it;
Some of you might want to pick up on the idea. (Or pick it apart.) Click on [\[link\]](#) - or
copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Sep 22 2009 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

Unification of Gravity and Electricity finally discovered !!

[\[link\]](#) [\[link\]](#)

By EinsteinGravity.com - Sep 21 2009 - [3 new of 3 messages](#)

[+ New post](#)

51 - 60 of 104 [« Newer](#) | [Older »](#)

[XML](#)

Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more ▾

deanlsinclair@gmail.com ▾

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)[« Newer](#) Topics 61 - 70 of 104 [Older »](#)

[A look at Quantum Superposition, Atemporality and the direction of Entropy in relation to Eternity - INFINITE VARIABLES](#)

In our Oscillation Theory, a lot has been said lately regarding Infinity. Directly or indirectly; we have been oscillating all around the subject of the O/S Theory. To get to where we want to be we must bring it back to the unified weak and electromagnetic interaction between elementary particles, including in addition, the prediction of... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Sep 19 2009 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[Explaining the Universal Law of Physics - COMMON BOND](#)

COMPLETE BOND Explaining the Universal Law of Physics would be the Elemental Effect in which each and everything is Linked. We could take the largest circumference of a circle in any given direction within the shape of a round ball - call everything within this a Complete Bond - then take everything out of it and divide it up... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Sep 18 2009 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "Letter to "Sophie" at H=Judge Parker"](#)

Nothing really new in this other than the somewhat ironic view point of talking across dimensions to a personality who exists only in a writer's mind and appear only in two dimensions... You may get a chuckle out of it. Click on [\[link\]](#)... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Aug 20 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "O/S and the Periodic Chart"](#)

Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.By ESKI - Jul 20 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "Deuterium Molecular Cation....."](#)

This little bit of chemical speculation was done with respect to some work done by another group. It seems unfair to not share the same ideas with this group!! Cheers, DS Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Jun 5 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "Universes Within Universes?"](#)

Just a bit more speculation to think about.....DS Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Jun 5 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "The Matter of Anti-Matter"](#)

I just added a little essay to the pages on the site. Hope you find it interesting. Eskill Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - May 21 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "M.Margulis' Penetrating Ether"](#)

This theory has some elements in common with our ideas. I thought some of you might like to compare.... Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - May 13 2009 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[How to Do Meditation?](#)

Did You Know That Meditating Just 15 Min a Day Could Change Your Life

[www.SilvaLifeSystem.com](#)[Optical Consultants](#)Experts in diffractive, phase, beam holographic & mtf optics systems [@optics.com](#)[What is Quantum Jumping?](#)Discover Why Thousands of People are "Jumping" to Change Their Life [www.QuantumJumping.com](#)[See your message here...](#)

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)* [> Physics](#)[Science and Technology](#)[> Chemistry](#)[Science and Technology](#)[change categories](#)[More group info »](#)

REPORT

Returning at Double the Speed of Light.

Hi everyone... I'm back! QUOTE - HUGH <Here in lies the key to the reason that the two energy expressions differ in form. In the process of integration, one of the variables was "held constant," that is taken out of real consideration except as a "scalar number" in the process. However, it is still a variable, a... [more](#) »

By ka-sala - May 2 2009 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

View this page "More on the Second Energy Equation"

I'm finally kicking in a little contribution. 'Bout time, Eh?? Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By Hugh V - Apr 30 2009 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[+ New post](#)61 - 70 of 104 [« Newer](#) | [Older »](#)[XML](#)Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more ▾

deanlsinclair@gmail.com ▾

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance**+ Theory**

Search this group

Search Groups

DiscussionsView: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)« [Newer](#) Topics 71 - 80 of 104 [Older](#) »**[View this page "The Electron and Proton as Oscillators"](#)**

I added a little about possible internal structure of the electron and proton. Cheers. DS Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Apr 27 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)**[Fw: I wish you enough](#)**

----- Original Message ----- ...To: alan ; AL CAPONE ; Dianne ; eddie ; eli ; gail ; george mc ; hotlips ; jame scott ; Jen ; Joann G. ; Ryan Kin ; Loui ; michelle ; KEVIN MONTERIO ; Pat ; Cathy Rainey ; sandy ; shannon ; Tim ; BOB Vanderhoek Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2009 12:19 PM ... From: GGa7606...@aol.com <GGa7606...@aol.com>... [more](#) »

By Robert Vanderhoek - Apr 14 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)**[View this page "The Oscillators"](#)**Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.By ESKI - Apr 10 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)**[View this page "OLD DATA/NEW MODEL "](#)**

Hi, People, Hope everyone is well, happy and enjoying the nice Spring-- or Fall-- weather! This is a simple version of the origin of the Oscillator /Substance Model written to be used as a "prequel" on other sites for articles that have previously been accepted there. Cheers, Dean "Eski"... [more](#) »

By ESKI - Apr 7 2009 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)**[Welcome Major Ray](#)**

"...the atom of truth could only win." Welcome Major Ray. Would love to see a discussion here from you. You have a lot up your sleeve and you are far from alone in your beliefs. I had a scan of your BIOS and as I have been a fly on the wall of the Academy of Future Science since the 70's - I prefer ro remain out of... [more](#) »

By ka-sala - Mar 25 2009 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)**[View this page "Iso-sets ,Radioactivity and CMNS"](#)**


kThis little page was posted sometime ago, but somehow, without a title.....The sme ideas have also been reposted elswwhere, including on SciScoop. Anyway, I finally got at title on this one so it will have more respectability..... Click on [\[link\]](#)... [more](#) »

By ESKI - Mar 13 2009 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)**[View this page "Why Einstein was right."](#)**

Hi, everybody, and thanks for the heads up on various other things! Anyway, this is the latest try to get us some more attention via SciScoop. Look it up there, OK/ Thanks, ESKI Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't... [more](#) »

By ESKI - Mar 9 2009 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)**[View this page "Positron plus Negatron equals Zerotron?"](#)**

For some reason, this page, although it had a page title had no content. Who knows why.... DS Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Feb 17 2009 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)**Home****Discussions**[+ new post](#)**Members**[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)

[View this group in the new Google Groups](#)
Sponsored links[More Email for Less](#)

Free image hosting. Free templates.

Only \$10/mth and first month free.

[www.Campaigner.com/first_month_fre](#)[edia Lists On-Demand](#)

1.4 Million Records. Build Media

Lists and More. Take a Demo!

[www.vocus.com](#)[ernative Energy](#)

is investing in business and

technology to deliver clean energy.

[www.bp.com/energymix](#)[See your message here...](#)**roup info**

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)[> Physics](#)[Science and Technology](#)[> Chemistry](#)[Science and Technology](#)[change categories](#)[More group info »](#)

Fwd: CMNS: Zero Point Energy, Casimir effect and hydrinos ★★★★★

Somehow this should fit into oscillator-substance theory! ----- Forwarded message ----- ...To: CMNS <cmns@googlegroups.com> Dear all, Jovion recently got a patent for extracting energy from the vacuum, using the Casimir effect to create what looks like hydrinos. Scott, Marissa, I believe that there is a strong link with Earthtech's... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Feb 6 2009 - [6 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

PEACE...is an OSCILLATING SCIENCE

Peace within a Wave Band? It is a Frequency, a Vibration; just as one could say the Frequency of Music, the note, Middle C = 440 Oscillation per second. Middle C to the 7th note is a Scale in Music. All sound has a Frequency, a Vibration, and in vibration there is movement. This is the Peace Wave. With the Earth's population by November 2008 up to... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Jan 1 2009 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[+ New post](#)

71 - 80 of 104 [« Newer](#) | [Older »](#)

[XML](#)

Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more

Sign in

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)

[« Newer](#) Topics 81 - 90 of 104 [Older »](#)

You cannot post messages because only members can post, and you are not currently a member.

Description: Discussion of Oscillator/Substance Theory and its relationship to other theories. The theory assumes that all reality exists in a substance at its triple point consisting of separable oscillators.

[View this page "Energy Expressions Interact?"](#)

Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.
By ESKI - Dec 29 2008 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

[View this page "Quantization: A 3-D Merry-go-round?"](#)

Hi. this is a copy of a submission to Sci-Scoop which, apparently, they don't know what to think about it. It takes two negative votes to discard a submission, and a net of 4 positive votes to publish it, That's the supposed rules, So far it seems to have gotten nothing but abstentions, which would mean that people reading it don't know what... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Dec 29 2008 - [4 new of 4 messages](#)

[Positron plus Electron equals Negatron?](#)

This little article was posted on Sci-Scoop. Although the subject is mentioned in other papers on this site, it is felt that the idea might deserve attention by itself. Also, I am posting bits of the theory from this site on Sci-Scoop as a "spreading of the word" so to speak. $e^- + e^+ = e^0$?... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Dec 10 2008 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

[View this page "An Intertwined Universe? "](#)

Hi, I just posted another little article which was also published on the SciScoop Site. There it made the "Front Page." Somebody must have liked it. Cheers! "ESKI" Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Dec 1 2008 - [5 new of 5 messages](#)

[View this page "A Constant's Secrets, a Different Look at Planck's Constant"](#)

Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Nov 20 2008 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

[View this page "Some basic background ideas"](#)

Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Nov 4 2008 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

[THE PROTON and The Sixth Element of Action](#)

THE PROTON and The Sixth Element of Action Which-ever particle we speak of, they are all inter-linked. To some, this may be more metaphorical, and to others, analogies. Maybe... a hint of insight. This should not subtract from a broader perspective into a subject which is a 'pin point' in the Cosmos. It... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Oct 30 2008 - [2 new of 2 messages](#)

[THE PROTON and The Sixth Element of Action](#)

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

[About this group](#)

[Join this group](#)



View this group in the new Google Groups

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)

[Physics](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

[Chemistry](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

[View group info »](#)

Ka-Sala- I think that in this essay you are coming awfully close to defining some sort of "proto-oscillator" perhaps, a "proto-on." The physical description comes close to descriptions of a toroid, pseudo-sphere which would describe very closely what I would envision as the shape of most of the tiny dots making up the "Substance." The only... [more »](#)

By dean sinclair - Oct 27 2008 - [2 new of 2 messages](#)

MANY OSCILLATIONS - CONNECTIVE ISSUES

MANY OSCILLATIONS – CONNECTIVE ISSUES Dealing with something as normal as pitchblende, seems of little import. Ships of the past, and even some of the present, patch holes with Pitch. Yet the Pitch Element is very significant. Being a Carbonic Element it is linked to the Transition Element from which... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Oct 19 2008 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

OSCILLATING ENERGY - IN A GRAIN OF SALT

OSCILLATING ENERGY – IN A GRAIN OF SALT Alternative fuel ? A new millennium ? Once the designer of a water fueled engine, was bought out, to 'shut up!' So now that we have turned over from then, where the price of fuel has caught up with the purse strings of every one except who holds the purse, why not try... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Oct 19 2008 - [1 new of 1 message](#)

[+ New post](#)

81 - 90 of 104 [« Newer](#) | [Older »](#)

[XML](#)

Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more ▾

deanlsinclair@gmail.com ▾

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)[« Newer](#) Topics 91 - 100 of 104 [Older »](#)

ZERO DISSECTED

ZERO DISSECTED Zero = Nothing, right? So... No Butter, no eggs no apple no 'what'? No Zero? What does Zero look like? That is not nothing but a symbol of something. OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO Here I have 24 Zeros I can, divide them up! The division of 6 into these 24 = 4. Four Zero's is not nothing but... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Oct 19 2008 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

TRAVELING ON ONE WAVE LENGTH

TRAVELING ON ONE WAVE LENGTH Anyone... who thinks they have the answers to the link between all things, is missing out on the journey. This exercise is called 'Think'. These Graphics by word, are imprinted in the mind, and simply expressed as a dot within a circle. Throwing Light on the subject =... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Oct 19 2008 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

DOUBLE THE SPEED OF LIGHT - TIME TRAVEL

Ai, ai, ai; Ka-sala, Amiguita mia, Again, you give us something to think about! definitely differemt than my dry prose! Eski

By ESKI - Oct 18 2008 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

OBJECTIVE INTELLIGENCE

OBJECTIVE INTELLIGENCE . Beginning the search on the theory of everything. Some-one with a great mind once said that, "we only begin to learn when we realize we know nothing." At least this is a good beginning, to start searching for something. So lets start with Zero. Graphically written as 'O'.... [more »](#)

By ka-sala - Oct 17 2008 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

OBJECTIVE INTELLEGENCE

Hello "ka-sala" You've posted alot of stuff requiring many answers, some of what you say seems to be just word play. I believe zero is zero, no matter how many times you multiply or divide it, At first I thought you were kind of a wizz-bang, but I read several of your works on Helium.com and realize you... [more »](#)

By Robert Vanderhoek - Oct 20 2008 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

View this page "Neutron ala O/S Theory"

Here is more comment on the neutron. It could be called a sort of current update on the paper, "Neutron Facts and Fables." DLS Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Oct 16 2008 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

View this page "Reality and Speculation in Science"

Hi, I have posted some comments essentially about "taking with a grain of salt" almost everything in sceince. DLS Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Oct 16 2008 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

Basic Status Paper Reposted

Oscillator/Substance Model, A Theory of Everything Summary: This article presents a view of existence as being within a substance, at its triple point, consisting of separable oscillators. As such it gives new definitions of mass, energy, force,

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the
[new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Particle Identification](#)Particle size, shape & make-up
Using SEM/EDS/AFA Analysis
[www.herguth.com](#)[Alternative Energy](#)BP is investing in bus...s and
technology to deliver clean energy.
[www.bp.com/energymix](#)[See your message here...](#)

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)[> Physics](#)[Science and Technology](#)[> Chemistry](#)[Science and Technology](#)[change categories](#)[More group info](#)

gravitation.... Included are possible explanations of "The Big Bang,"... [more »](#)
By ESKI - Oct 15 2008 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "Proton Cosmology"](#)

Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.
By hoek - Oct 13 2008 - [6 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[Discussion on .draft-1223675099611 Iso-sets, Radioactivity & CMNS](#)
cool_gr...@hotmail.com Iso-sets, Radioactivity and CMNS This is, in general, a note I
posted on another Google Group Site which has ideas pertinent to our work here.
DLS Dear People: This is as condensed version which will be expanded for
publication elsewhere. ([\[link\]](#).... [more »](#))
By ESKI - Oct 10 2008 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[+ New post](#)

91 - 100 of 104 [« Newer](#) | [Older »](#)

[XML](#)

Send email to this group: oscillatorsubstance-theory@googlegroups.com

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more ▾

deanlsinclair@gmail.com ▾

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/ + Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Discussions

View: [Topic list](#), [Topic summary](#)[« Newer](#) Topics 101 - 104 of 104

[View this page "The Hadron Collider and O/S Theory"](#)

Another short page post-- Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Sep 22 2008 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[Space-Time and O/S Theory](#)

Comment on Space-Time and the O/S model. If time be considered as always "cycle referenced," which it is, and it be noted that all mathematics operates in such a way as to imply the constant presence of a "dot field," then, the title, "Space-Time" implies in the mathematical sense, an entity of undetermined size... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Aug 28 2008 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "Combining constants of Nature"](#)

Another basis for the ideas of this theory. Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Aug 26 2008 - [4 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "Set Notation and Atomic Structure"](#)

A possible way of looking differently at atoms.... Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Aug 23 2008 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "The Principle of Electron Equivalence"](#)

The point is made that dropping the idea of neutrons existing as such in nuclei leads to a different view of atomic nuclei. One that might allow possibly useful computer modeling Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't... [more »](#)

By ESKI - Aug 20 2008 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "An Essay on Time"](#)

This little essay seems pertinent to the topic. Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Jul 27 2008 - [2 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[This Model and the Space-Time Model](#)

Is this model compatible with the Space-Time Modelling? For example, would "Space-Time Distortion" mean approximately the same thing as "Disturbance in the Substance?"

By ESKI - Jul 27 2008 - [1 message](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[View this page "The Current Paper \(27 July 2998\)"](#)

Here is a version of the parent paper posted on [\[link\]](#). Click on [\[link\]](#) - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

By ESKI - Jul 27 2008 - [3 messages](#) - [Report as spam](#)

[Welcome to an experiment](#)


Hi, I'm Dean Sinclair, well, if you want the full name, titles, etc. I'm Dean LeRoy Sinclair, BA, MS, PhD. It may be that I shld use the whole thing to distinguish me from at least one other existing Dean L., and the most famous of the Dean Sinclairs, who is a soccer star in England. In any case, I am a 76-year-old Yank who graduated

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[Join this group](#)
[Edit my membership](#)
[Group settings](#)
[Management tasks](#)
[Invite members](#)

[View this group in the new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Online Math Classes](#)
Give Your Kids An Academic Edge. K12 Online Curriculum. Learn More! [www.K12.com](#)

[Psychology Programs](#)
Compare Online/Campus Schools

[Psychology Degree Programs!](#)
[EduSeek.com/Psychology](#)

[Become a Math Teacher](#)
Most Affordable Option to Become

[Math Teacher. Accredited. Sign Up!](#)
[www.WGU.edu](#)

[See your message here...](#)

Group info

Language: English

Group categories:
Science and Technology

> [Physics](#)
Science and Technology

> [Chemistry](#)
Science and Technology

[change categories](#)

[View a group info »](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Welcome to an experiment

Options

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jul 27 2008, 11:39 am

Hi,
I'm Dean Sinclair, well, if you want the full name, titles, etc. I'm Dean LeRoy Sinclair, BA, MS, PhD. It may be that I shld use the whole thing to distinguish me from at least one other existing Dean L., and the most famous of the Dean Sinclairs who is a soccer star in England.
In any case, I am a 76-year-old Yank who graduated from a school called Yankton College in Yankton, SD in 1953, with a BA (cum laude, yet! Most of my acquaintances said it really should have been "laude how cum" or some such thing.)
I spent a couple of years in the US Army, Pfc, Radar Repair. in '54-'56 and, after various misadventures, eventually got a Ph.D. in Organic Chemistry at Kansas State U. in 1967.

So, what has all this to do with this group?
Putting together some of my varied background in science and electronics with the idea that I might be able to pretend to be a genius by "looking for the overlooked obvious" I have come up with a synthesis of ideas, that, at least to me, make sense, which are summarized in the name of this group. The experiment is to see if a Google Group left wide open to everyone can work together to explore, elaborate, criticise, elaborate and perhaps develop the ideas into truly useable form.

1867 PhD!
About "typo!"

I shall post a copy of the paper that covers the current status of the model in another posting.

Welcome, aboard. I need all the help I can get. At my age, if the ideas are valid, I don't have a lot of time to develop the ideas a lot farther than their current status. DLS

(If you wonder about the "ESKI," the word means "old," in Turkish, sometimes with the implication of "out-dated" or "obsolete." With my name I suppose I could be "The Green Dinosaur." Some people do call me "Dino.")

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

Hugh V [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Aug 7 2008, 6:30 pm

"ESKI,"

SPIT IT OUT, DOC, YOU HAVE A GOOD IDEA THAT YOU NEED HELP DEVELOPING, YOU NEED SCIENTISTS TO LOOK AT YOUR IDEAS AND SEE IF THEY FIT IN WITH ACCEPTED THEORY, DISAGREE WITH IT OR AMPLIFY IT. THEY DON'T NEED YOUR LIFE-HISTORY AT THIS POINT!

"I'll do what I can to help by suggesting some topics and an occasional comment. After all, I did get in on the early part of the act a bit when it was called the Motion in a Matrix Theory on Helium.com and thanks for giving me half credit for the Sin-Vree Entityidea, It does make a good pun if one knows the original Holland Dutch pronunciation of "Vree."

Regards, and good luck. HV

Oscillator/Substance Model, A Theory of Everything

Summary: This article presents a view of existence as being within a substance, at its triple point, consisting of separable oscillators. As such it gives new definitions of mass, energy, force, gravitation.... Included are possible explanations of "The Big Bang," Black Holes, Neutron Stars.... In terms of this model there is also a warning of possible danger from the Hadron Collider which is due to go into operation in the Summer of 2008. .

The "Oscillator/Substance Model of Everything" is a second-generation model developed from the Motion in a Matrix Model.* The key insights of that model were that the speed of light being a limiting velocity of information transfer implied a necessary medium for interaction and that the interconvertibility of mass and energy implied that they were different aspects of the same thing. The original thought was that mass coordinated to point-centered motion and energy to motion along a line. While this seems to be in general quite close to the facts, it was realized that modifying the ideas to mass being motion confined within a surface and energy being a less specific term covering all types of motion, with Kinetic Energy being the type that would be dissipated along a line would be a more usable view.

If, instead of postulating a fixed matrix of dots as a basis for a model, it be assumed that there be a basic substance which will remain at, or return to its triple-point, the problem of whether the model is dealing with a solid, liquid or gas disappears. At the triple point the substance can act as any of the three depending upon slight variations in conditions. We may even postulate that the triple-point temperature is approximately the temperature of outer space, about three degrees Kelvin.

Postulating a substance basis for everything, allows us to define a number of things which are otherwise undefined or have "circular definitions." Mass becomes the balance between the motion content of the substance within a particular surface and the remainder of the substance. Kinetic Energy is the motion dissipated into the "Bulk" of the substance when a portion of the substance within a surface changes position. Light and other electromagnetic radiation is motion within the substance. The law of forces, "For every force there is an equal and opposite force," falls into place as a statement of the fact that if there is a pressure change within one part of the substance, there will be compensatory changes within the rest of the substance. It has been long known that pressure is a force. We simply change the statement slightly to, "All true forces are pressures."

Gravitation loses its place as a force--a status which it actually never should have had. It becomes a description of the difference in pressure of the amount of the substance between two entities and rest of the substance, including that part of the substance included within the entities. Electro-magnetism is the observed result of pressure disturbances within the substance from actions and interactions between electrons and protons,

Electrons and protons are known to be the basic units from which all of our chemical substances are derived. That is, with the possible exception of the "short-lived" particles produced in high-energy, particle-physics experiments. In our Universe, protons and electrons occur as the decay product of neutrons. Electrons and anti-electrons also appear in "Pair-production," and disappear in "Annihilation."

Putting the above pieces together with the characteristics of oscillators, we may postulate that "Pair-production" and "Annihilation" are opposite processes. A spherical cavity oscillator can be considered to consist of counter-rotating halves, which, if subjected to enough "motion disturbance," can split into two mirror-image vortex oscillators having opposite spin orientations. If these two halves were to re-encounter on a proper axis they could recombine to the original oscillator with loss of kinetic energy. That is, postulating a basic unit of our substance as an oscillator that can be split into vortex oscillators having opposite spins, neatly accounts for "Pair-production" and "Annihilation."

As to electrons, protons and neutrons, we can account for these at the same time as we account for the

"Big Bang" and the "Expanding Universe."

Neutrons fit the characteristics of a substance distorted by a shock wave such that the "front half of the unit met the back-half coming forward." This distorted unit splits into an electron and a proton. The speed of light in the substance--if light is a typical information carrier--is the average velocity in any given direction in any given instant. Therefore, it will be the average expansion velocity of the expansion phase of an oscillator, and will also be the average rotational velocity measured at the outer edge of the expansion (or contraction) phase of an oscillator. As such it will be the average velocity felt by our basic oscillators.

We may postulate that motion at above this velocity will travel as a shock wave and since "c," the speed of light, is an average, the initial velocity at the start of the expansion phase has to be greater than "c." if we consider acceleration and deceleration to be linear. we can estimate the initial shock wave from any oscillator as having a velocity of "2c" which fits fairly well with the comparative "masses" of the electron and proton. with the proton half taking the entire distortion from a "2c" shock wave slamming into our substance acting as a "solid."

What this all adds up to is that some oscillator is operating at ultra-low frequency, very high power, and we are riding in the "Creativity out of Chaos" behind that shock wave which converted many substance units into neutrons which disintegrate into electrons and protons. The electrons and protons, vortex particles of opposite spin orientations, but very different sizes and shapes, can not stably recombine but can associate in a multitude of ways, creating everything that we know in our Universe. Presumably, on the other side of the Parent Oscillator, is an "Anti-Universe, coping in its own way with the same "Creativity out of Chaos" attempt to stabilize. At some point the shock wave will expend itself, and there will be a contracting Universe-Anti-universe set which will eventually collapse through the parent-oscillator to start the process all over again.

Our discussion above leads to the conclusion that our basic oscillators possess the ability to coordinate vast amounts of energy. Taking this into account gives a possible explanation for "Black Holes" in the centers of Galaxies. In the Creativity of Chaos behind the shock wave, some units fall into coordination with an oscillator at their exact center, and begin to move with its frequencies. Considering the characteristics of oscillators, a vortex oscillator such as a proton or electron, if passed intact through a full-cavity oscillator would have its "sense" reversed. That is, an electron would become an anti-electron, a proton an anti-proton. Since, tossed out the other side of the oscillator these could unite with "untransformed" units, oscillators operating in this way would be reducing electrons and protons back to unit-oscillators. This, however, comes at a cost. Protons, formed at distortion of smaller oscillators, would be combined into "proton-parent" oscillators, which could presumably be, in turn, distorted by shock waves into Super-neutrons, which would collapse into an anti-proton ("Super-electron") and a "Super-proton" and so on and on and on...

When a Black Hole Oscillator has done its "trash converter" work in a volume, what would appear to a human observer would be a complete blank spot in space devoid of anything which we would consider "Matter."

One other factor in our Existence that we have not mentioned is the neutrino-anti-neutrino combination. It seems logical to guess that these are a pair-product just as electrons and anti-electrons are, and that their "parent-oscillator" is a more basic unit to our substance oscillator(s). This would be in analogy to atoms and molecules in chemical substances. These could, in turn be composed of even smaller oscillators, ad infinitum.

We consider all "matter" to be made up of protons and electrons, which combine to form atoms, atoms to form molecules, molecules to form organisms.... In this model we would not consider that neutrons would exist within atoms other than in "potential" or "evanescent" state, and consider that neutron stars and quasars would perhaps model as hugely over-sized atoms. As such they would function as "isotope factories." Isotopic distributions within galaxies may be a result of differences between the "neutron" stars active within them in the past. It should be possible to find spectra of elements including possibly trans-Uranium elements or even unknown "Trans-Uraniums" in the radiation from quasars and neutrons

stars. Because of the expectation of high energy electron "orbitals" closely spaced, it can be expected that these entities will have distinctive radio-frequency "signatures".

This model, if valid, casts considerable doubt on both the validity of the assumptions behind some of the publicized experiments that are intended for the "Hadron Collider" under Switzerland and France that is due to go into operation this Summer (2008), and on its safety. If the simple definition of mass which arises in this model be valid, then the idea that something known as the Higgs Boson is responsible for mass becomes a total misconception. The idea that colliding protons with protons would duplicate the conditions before the "Big Bang" is inconsistent to this model in several ways. One is that by this model, protons would come into existence as a result of the decay of neutrons. As neutrons have a significant half-life, protons would not exist until after the start of the shock wave. As to Lead Ions, these would appear much later. However, collisions at relative velocities of close to "2c" could certainly have the potential to distort oscillators cause neutron formation and, perhaps, additional esoteric, short-lived, alternate forms of matter. Almost surely, Hydrogen would appear within the collider tube. A much more dangerous implication of this model is the idea of Black Hole formation by coordination of a circular spinning entity with an oscillator in its center. The Collider apparently has at least a couple of perfect circle dimensions which have resonance frequencies. There is a high probability that these circles will act as cavity oscillators. If one of these oscillators did come into active coordination with a central oscillator the result would be the same as is postulated for galaxies. Although on a miniature scale to a galaxy, a Black Hole interaction the size of the Collider could have results ranging from, hopefully, merely annoying "unexplained energy anomalies," to a new volcano where the Collider had been, to a coordinated vibration with the resonance frequencies of the Earth to create a new asteroid field between Venus and Mars. Although a "Black Hole Oscillator" would probably "eat" only the material within the circumference of the Collider, the conversion of that amount of matter to anti-matter would make the most powerful atomic bomb appear a mere firecracker.

The scientists working on the project apparently admit that they have no idea what will happen. I hope they remember that, in retrospect, the physicists working on the Manhattan Project realized that it was by the merest of good luck that they did not blow themselves, and the entire Chicago area off the face of the Earth.

* See "Motion in a Matrix..." on www.helium.com. Several other articles by this writer, which are posted on that web site also bear upon ideas related to this model.

AUTHOR'S POST-SCRIPT: After reading through the above article, the writer has realized that he has not included some things which usually occur in a modern, scientific article. There are no mathematical formulations that might not be comprehensible to everyone, nor any mention of one or more of the modern, accepted theoretical ideas such as Relativity, Quantum Mechanics, String Theory. Rather than break into the body of the above to correct these apparent flaws, there is being appended this addendum.

It has been shown in other papers that by equating the value of Planck's Constant, "h," to the dimensions of its definition, evaluating this at the speed of light, "c," one can obtain a definition of a family of dual-cavity and/or vortex oscillators defined, in set notation, by $\{m \times r = h/c\}$. This set has a central, symmetric, spherical--or ring--oscillator defined by $m = r = (h/c)^{0.5}$, where "m" is a mass and "r" is the radius of a circle or a sphere. The value of m in cgs units is about 7.4×10^{-19} g. and the value of r is about

7.4×10^{-19} cm. If one goes a step farther and writes, " $m = h/c^2$ ", one finds an associated minimal mass of about

7.5×10^{-58} g. which may be the mass of a "neutrino parent." Possibly the smallest mass of significance in our Universe.

When the accepted mass of the electron is inserted into the oscillator-family-set equation, the radius is found to be the Compton Wave Length used in Quantum Mechanics. Inspection of the mathematical definitions shows that the two are mathematically identical although their different derivations do not show this clearly.

Quantum Mechanics is said to fail at about 10^{-18} cm., and String Theory gets lost into its ten dimensions at about the same value. That is the value of the diameter of our average oscillator, a value through which all the oscillators may well invert. It is interesting that it is the point of failure of two of the widely used theoretical models. If our speculations are correct the failure of both is perhaps that their mathematics only accounts for half or less of "Reality."

In so far as the writer knows, no-one has correlated the Space-Time approach with "Q.M." Each seems to have been in its own niche. The mathematics of both, in so far as they go, would seem to be somewhat compatible with this model. Space-Time modeling seems to work quite well at the macro level despite the fact that Relativity probably only would strictly apply to communication theory, and concepts of what occupies space and what time actually is, appear to be in error. String Theory seems to depend, ultimately, on the true, but-rather-useless-in-the-thinking-of-most-people fact that the route traced by a dot moving in random fashion will follow a path which one can consider as having always been there. The 10 dimensions may arise because one can use nine dimensions of space, and one of motion. to define the actions of a dot--if one wishes to do so.

If the foregoing seems not too well explained and a bit abstruse, then, perhaps, the writer has reached the goal of writing a "modern, scientific paper." Most modern, scientific papers seem to be written in such a way that only the author and the closest associates have any idea what is going on. If they do.

On a more serious note, it can be observed that if this model be valid, it could have been put together close to a century ago, had the ideas advanced by Planck been followed up rather than those of Einstein. This writer did not realize this at the start of the path that led to this write-up. However, the initial impetus was the realization that there was an "Overlooked Obvious" in Einstein's Special Relativity, the fact that the transform equations could be generalized to fit into communication theory if one realized that "c," the speed of light was simply the limiting velocity of an information carrier wave. The theory was valid for situations wherein communication was possible or necessary; but, possibly of no pertinence in other situations.

Version:

3 messages about this page

Aug 7 2008 by Hugh V

Maybe someone would like to summarize this paper a little more. Five pages is a lot to read. It does make sense, but I think that you perhaps should take what you are doing a bit more seriously, although you are right, most scientific papers are only comprehensible to the initiated which could mean the people working in the same lab. Let us

Jul 27 2008 by ESKI

Whoops, the title is wrong, the date is in 2008, not 1998, I need to learn to type.

Jul 27 2008 by ESKI

Here is a version of the parent paper posted on www.Helium.com.

Click on <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/web/the-current-paper-27-july-2998> - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

[Report this page](#)

[Sign in to discuss](#)

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

An Essay on Time

Version 2 of 6, edit by ESKI, Jul 27 2008

These are some of the author's thoughts on the subject of time.

Time is a human construct used to keep track of sequential motions in space referenced to repetitive observed motions. Useful for planning and information transfer, it is sometimes considered as if it were a fourth spatial dimension.

Time is usually considered to have three aspects, the past, present and future. These can be considered to correspond to motion of a specific point on a wave front. The past is the total sum of all motions that led to that instantaneous position which we consider the present, and the future is where that wavefront spot may be considered to go in the next instant and all the instants which may follow.

The present is the result of a certain sequence of motions, which we call the past, something which no longer exists, but which is never the less a "fixed construction." To go back into the past, in a physical sense, would require a retrograde repetition of all of these motions, a set of motions which would increase instant by instant in a huge geometric progression. Our "wave front" would have to move backwards in a perfect reversal of the sequence by which it had previously moved forward. Even were this possible, one can see, that, since the direction has been reversed, what was "back" is now "forward," the wave front, while "retracing the past" would actually be "moving into the future." In trying to go into the past, a "time-traveller" would actually be attempting to create a future which was a reversal of the past.

If one considers that it may be possible that long wave fluctuations in the "Matrix" in which we exist creates multiple adjacent universes in which certain sequences may coincide, it might be possible to move from one alternate universe into another which would correspond exactly to some point in ones own past. There is no real indication that there are such alternate universes; and, were they to exist, it is highly unlikely that they would correspond in such way as for there to be possible entry from one to another.

However, if one wished to combine Religion, Brane Theory, and ultra-slow vibrations in the Matrix of Existence, maybe "Heaven is Just a Brane Away?" That sounds like a new hymn, or a Country-Western Song. This whole last comment, of course, has little to do with the original essay..

(This little essay can also be found in the "Portfolio" for deanlsinclair on www.writing.com as well as in the section, Essays on time, on www.helium.com.

Oct 19 2008 by ka-sala

Hello Eski,

Ride that Wave you are on!

It's living in the Now which in Time past and future are One, and the

meaning of why we are on 'that wave' in the first place.

I don't know about you, but mine is One huge ride, and I would not have missed that wave for anything! A Time Traveller has so much

The Principle of Electron Equivalence

THE PRINCIPLE OF ELECTRON EQUIVALENCE.

If one drops the idea that neutrons, as such, exist in the nuclei of atoms, one obtains a totally different view of what may be the situation within atoms and molecules. If all electrons retain identity as electrons rather than some of them losing identity to become neutrons, then all electrons within any atom or molecule are equivalent. That is, no electron is confined to any given spot at any given time. This indeterminacy is the same idea as appears in Quantum Mechanics where it is considered that all possibilities exist until there is an "observer." For observer, one could write, a forced change in the possibilities. At that instant, motion can be considered to be frozen, and at that moment electrons will be "in specific orbits," specific parts of the involved "dance of the electrons."

It can then be said that there are essentially three divisions of the "electron dance." Intra-nuclear, extra-nuclear, and "whole-unit" with any electron at some time or other involved in one of the three motion units. If these be considered as separate orbitals--the extra-nuclear orbital ideas has served well for many years--then there can be postulated that there are, in any unit twice the number of orbitals as there are electrons. Writing out orbital designations for "orbital electrons," nuclear electrons, and then for all electrons gives sets of possible orbitals which explain a number of things about atoms that are otherwise mysterious....

It may also be noted that, in this view, protons also would have equivalency, and would tend to enter into an "intra-proton dance." The combinations of these two motions can become very complex. so much so as to lead to the instability fragmentation seen in radioactivity.

One can consider that radioactivity will occur when the interactions become so complicated that at times the "dance" will come apart with smaller units of less complicated patterns resulting....

It can also be seen that, if one goes by the idea from Oscillator/Substance Theorizing that it is possible for certain oscillators to be split into electron-positron pairs, there may be certain configurations which would result on such a situation, particularly if addition of an electron would result in a more symmetric unit... This seems to be the case in "positron emission" radioactivity.

The whole area of exploration of atomic structure and of isotopic transformations from the view of electron equivalence and proton equivalence has never been explored, in so far as this writer knows. While the approach may not offer any new insights, it would seem that it could be worked up on a computer adding one "nucleon," i.e., electron or proton at a time to a computer model. In computer modeling, one could [perhaps also take advantage of the $(m \times r = h/c)$ set size and shape forms that it suggests for electrons and protons and the idea of the average kinetic energy being the same for any nucleon such that the velocity of the electrons would be about 42 times that of the protons.

This last statement is probably in error. DLS Aug 1, 2011

[Gmail](#) [Calendar](#) [Documents](#) [Photos](#) [Reader](#) [Sites](#) [Web](#) [more](#)

deanlsinclair@gmail.com

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Set Notation and Atomic Structure

SET NOTATION AND ATOMIC STRUCTURE

The equivalence of electrons in atoms is more or less implied in Oscillator/Substance Theory and is explored somewhat in another page. Here is a little different slant.

Electrons in atoms may be considered in a set theory manner as consisting of one set which tends to subdivide into two other sets. One of these sets, the "nuclear electrons" have been considered since the 1930's as being bound to protons to form neutrons. Another possibility is that they have motion patterns, "orbitals" that are analogs of the orbitals that are assigned to the extra-nuclear electrons or to the orbitals which are written in molecular orbital theory for molecules.

If we take the first idea and use a simple example, what can be called the "Iso-3,3-set members, Tritium and Helium 3, we can write, using the form, $N-1s2; 2s2$, etc., for nuclear electrons and a corresponding, $E-1s2; 2s2$, etc. notation for the "extra-nuclear electrons, we would have the following sets:

$\{e-H3\} = \{P-1s2\} \cup \{E-1s1\}$ and $\{e-He3\} = \{P-1s1\} \cup \{E-1s2\}$. This would read, "the set of electrons in Hydrogen 3 is composed of the set of 2 electrons in a "1s" type orbital in the nucleus and a 1s electron that is not in a nuclear orbital. The situation for Helium 3 is a union set of one electron in the nuclear orbital and two in an outer orbital."

The Union set notation implies that all electrons belong to the entire unit....

Protons can also be supposed to have some degree of motion which could perhaps be described in the same way. In that case we could write for protons in the above cases, for both units, $\{P-1s2, 2s1\}$ and an entire description of HeliumII could possibly be done by writing the foregoing set as a union set with the electron set to describe the entire atom.

Whether any of the above will spark ideas for researcy or computer modelling is unknown at this point. There is one paper, published on www.helium.com as "Introduction to Helium II" which suggests that the superfluid characteristics of HeliumII could possibly be due to the change of the nucleus from a tetrahedral to a square planar configuration.

Version: Current by ESKI - Oct 16 2008

1 message about this page

Aug 23 2008 by ESKI

A possibe way of looking differently at atoms.....

Click on <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/web/set-notation-and-atomic-structure>

- or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

[Reply to this discussion](#)

[Report this page](#)

Home

Discussions

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[YouTube Town Hall](#)

Support Your Politicians. See Who Shares Your Views On YouTube! YouTube.com/YTTownHall

[Cold Fusion](#)

Learn About Cold Fusion Now. Get Tips Today From HealthyNow.com! healthynow.com

[Theory at Park & Bond](#)

Shop the Newest Menswear Styles by [Theory](#). Get Free Shipping Today! www.parkandbond.com/Theory

Group info

Members: 15

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)

> [Physics](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

> [Chemistry](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

[change categories](#)

[More group info »](#)

Recent pages and files

[Essentials of O/S](#) Aug 23

[The Electron and Pr](#) Aug 9

[Possible Script for V](#) Jun 10

[Congruent Parallelo](#) May 4

[O/S and the Periodic](#) Mar 10

[o-s-and-th](#) Oct 28

[O/S, Space-Time an](#) Oct 15

[Some Constants of t](#) Sep 22

[Letter to "Sophie" at](#) Aug 20

[The Hadron Collider](#) Aug 6

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

THE CONSTANCY OF CONSTANTS

One thing that we seem to depend on is that constants of nature will not change, at least in the time that we are working with them. Going along with this, we can then say that if we combine constants of nature, we will obtain other constants of nature which may or may not give us insights into what is going on.

One very interesting constant of nature is Planck's constant which relates the concept of "Energy" to the frequency of electromagnetic radiation. This constant, "h," can have the dimensions of grams, centimeters squared per second, or in other words the units associated with "mass," radius, and velocity, the units of "action" or "angular momentum." We can write then that "h" as a constant can be considered as $m \times r \times v = h$ being a defining equation. This is of the form of three unknowns equalling a constant, mathematically, that is, "xyz=K." We also notice that, if we divide out the factor, "r," we have another rather familiar looking equation, $mv=a$ constant. This is the familiar equation for momentum, "p." Therefore, h/r is the same as momentum. We may note, however, that there are two other ways we can change things to get something that looks suspiciously like a momentum. We could divide out mass or velocity and get an equation of the same form. Maybe we have just discovered the rationale of the rule that in collisions, momentum is conserved. In a three factors equal to a constant, if one factor changes, the other two will change in such a way as to keep the relationship the same.

Another way of looking at this is to note that since all three are related to the concept of angular momentum, all three factors are related to some central point. The radius, r, is a distance from the center, "v," would be the velocity of a unit moving at that distance, and the mass would be the balanced pressure at that distance that kept the motion constant.

At this point, let us introduce another constant of nature, the speed of light, "c," which, since it is the velocity of information transfer by electromagnetic radiation, has to be the average velocity, in any given direction of any moving entity..

Evaluating $m \times v \times r = h$ at "c" by dividing out "c" we get $m \times r = h/c$. This is the equation for a torque, $m \times r$. so "h/r," is the "torque constant of nature." Since the absolute values of m and r are interchangeable, we have defined a set of rotors which can have an unlimited number of pairs of values such that "m x r = h/c." We may also say that this set of rotors would also define an oscillator family set, $m \times r = h/c$.

It is not too great a jump to say that since this seems to be a constant of nature that our Universe itself would be within the most powerful low-frequency member of this family, with all other units "keyed" to "harmonics" within that same oscillator. Going the other way, looking at certain other phenomena, such as electron-anti-electron pair production, and e^- , e^+ annihilation, moves the concept to the idea of these oscillators being either "full-cavity" oscillators, which would account for a Universe/Anti-Universe pair, or a positron-negatron, "zerotron" parent unit, or being vortex "half-oscillators" which would account for the opposite spin characteristics of electrons and anti-electrons and can be extended to account for the structure of all matter as being composed from electrons and protons.

We can go on, we can divide h by c^2 . to get a constant. We can divide c^3 by h to get a constant. In fact, we can manipulate any set that we wish of h and c to get possible constants of nature. The one mentioned above of c^3/h , has the dimensions of acceleration per gram, which if changed by multiplying out grams as one gram, gives a huge number as a force. Perhaps the force that would be felt, is being felt without our realizing it, on every gram total of units of existence.... Some of these ideas of the "Principle of the combinability of constants as clues to the structure of everything," can be explored further.

If anyone has read this far, how about telling what "h/c²" might mean? How about some of the other combinations?

Delete this page

Version: Current by ESKI - Sep 13 2008

Latest 3 messages about this page (4 total) - [view full discussion](#)

Oct 27 2008 by ESKI

Combining constants of Nature - Oscillator/Substance Theory | Google Groups

Actually this is not a reply since I was the last writer. The expression, h/c^2 does have an interesting interpretation., when I get around to it I will write out my interpretation on another URL and post it. In the meantime, I'd like to see what others come up with.

One little clue:

As you may have already noted, h/c^2 has the dimensions of "mass."

Oct 23 2008 by dean sinclair

Hmm. Looks like that question of mine is a bit of a mind blower. Actually, if you turn the question upside down and try to give the resulting expression meaning one ends up with a massive value of mass..... You're right that could be something like the mass in some kind of big bang.... Eski

Oct 19 2008 by ka-sala

Hello Eski,

If anyone has read this far, how about telling what " h/c^2 "

You have created a Stabilized Ultrasonic Vibrational Plutonium Stink Bomb! You can't go much further than this, or your 'combining constants of nature' will end up with a Big Bang! Safe landing...

ka-sala

(Correction made with spelling!)

Hadron Collider and O/S Theory

(This first part was written about July 2008.)

The hadron Collider may have some useful results for O/S theory. If it doesn't cause a disaster; which O/S theory suggests is a definite possibility, some of the other result may fit in. It may be projected that the collision expected of two proton beams may not result in the release of the energy content in some explosive manner but merely in the divergence of the beams because of the spin effects on vortex particles. However, if there is energy transfer on such contact there is certainly enough content to cause electron-proton production, (some 1800 pairs or so) or even, possibly, to form one neutron. We're talking about the energy content of two protons accelerated to essentially "c" of course

If collision is elastic, with energy transfer, there will be an attempted acceleration of some of the protons to beyond the speed of light. This would probably have the effect of increasing their mass, which could result in the formation of very short-lived "bosons" which would decompose....

This writer still hopes that the entire system does not go into resonance with disastrous results.....

In any case, I still feel that there is a good chance of having "unexplained" Hydrogen atoms appear in the system....

Comment, July 23, '09. Approximately a year later,

Additional information has arisen making the above analysis seem somewhat naive. The Hadron Collider burnt out a component shortly after start up, apparently in early attempts at passing a proton beam around (more than once?) Anyway, it apparently hasn't started up again.

Additional ideas has developed in considering the proton. It was noted above that the vortex nature of the proton would cause problems. A little more consideration, taking in the fact that protons can interact by pairing processes and even further linkage, just as is possible with electron, shows that most likely a stream of strongly accelerated protons would develop either a linked structure for the entire chain or separate groupings corresponding to the "nuclei" of simple atoms. In either case, there is a definite possibility that the effect would be of a rotating, organized charge. This may well be the cause of the break down.

Additionally, the clockwise rotation of the proton would make the energetics of spinning the protons about a circular accelerator in a clockwise direction one situation, while spinning them in the opposite direction would be a totally different kind of energetics. There would be several areas of conflict, even with the ccw. spin of the Earth, and even the (Probably also ccw) spin of our Universe.) The approximation of the proton as a "charged point particle" is grossly misleading. If the insights of the O/S model are valid, it is very doubtful if the Hadron Collider will be able to go into operation, as the scientists and engineers have no idea of the problems they may actually be facing.

Delete this page

Version: Current by ESKI - Aug 6 2009

2 messages about this page

Aug 6 2009 by ESKI

Have psted an updated version of this page, to take into account some of the new information since it was first written Eski

P.S. Hope this finds you all in good health and good spirits.

Click on <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/web/the-hadron-collider-and-o-s-theory>

Sep 22 2008 by ESK1

Another short page post--

Click on <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/web/the-hadron-collider-and-o-s-theory>

- or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

[Reply to this discussion](#)

[Report this page](#)

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)


©2011 Google

Oscillator/Substance Theory

S

Discussion on .draft-1223675099611

Options

 1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 10 2008, 4:57 pm

cool_gr...@hotmail.com

Iso-sets, Radioactivity and CMNS This is, in general, a note I posted on another Google Group Site which has ideas pertinent to our work here. DLS

Dear People:

This is as condensed version which will be expanded for publication elsewhere. (<http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory>).

Iso-sets, Radioactivity and CMNS.

One may define an Iso-set as the set of all structures that may be formed from a given number of electrons and protons. In this type of definition, "Iso-set-1,0" would be the electron, Iso-set-0,1, the proton, the Iso-set-1,1 would have three characterized members, the subset, {e, p} , the neutron, and the Hydrogen atom. Iso-set-2,1 would include, {e, e, p; "eep" (a central aggregate), and Hydride ion}. The "2,2" set includes the H:H molecule and the Deuterium Atom, an unspecified, as yet, central aggregate, and all possible combinations of previous sets.

As each set contains all possible combinations of smaller sets, this becomes complex very rapidly and may seem so obvious as to be trivial. However, one interesting observation arises. In any given set there is a possible central aggregate of all the units of the set, an "Iso-set Aggregate," an "Iso-A." which would be the "Residence" of the highest "mass/energy" states of the set and would have all of the electrons confined within, or very closely associated

http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/browse_thread/thread/378c1d08f32b973b

to, the volume defined by the motions of the protons.

Any Iso-A set would have within it the potential to decompose to any combination of units of smaller sets and would be thermodynamically unstable with respect to such decomposition. Collapse to an electron (the Iso-A of "set-1,0) and a cation) accounts for many radioactive transformations, e.g. neutron [Iso-A of set-1,1 (?)], to a proton and electron. All other Beta-particle radioactivity can be explained similarly. An atom reaches an isomeric Iso-A state which does not revert to the original atom but to a cation by loss of an electron. A similar argument holds for the Alpha-emitters, except that the unit left behind is a di-anion... The Iso-A explanation can be extended to all nuclear transforms with appropriate modification of what is seen to be happening. Positron emission would involve interaction with Iso-set-0,0. The parent set considered pervasive which contains a "zerotron" unit splittable by "pair-production" into a proton and electron.

One notes that Iso-As of neutral units, atoms and molecules could be considered as "poly-neutrons" a term that has appeared in the literature. If it be taken that this means, "units that may be considered as 'polymers of neutrons' within which the neutrons have no individual identity" the writer has little objection to the nomenclature. The Iso-A concept simply includes these and any other central aggregate units, charged or uncharged which can be considered. Iso-As of huge sets may well be what are known as "neutron stars" and Quasars which are probably the "Isotope Factories of the Universe."

An Iso-A intermediate formed from the Deuterium molecule which, under certain circumstances, transforms to another version which would account for the most commonly observed CMNS transformation. CMNS is short for "Condensed Matter Nuclear Science which covers the area once known as "Cold Fusion" and other phenomena in the border land between "nuclear science" and molecular and atomic chemistry.

Iso-A intermediates might be interesting to consider in much of CMNS work. As noted above, they would be considered as the ultimate "Condensed Matter" of any given set of electrons and protons.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/browse_thread/thread/378c1d08f32b973b

Proton Cosmology

Hello Dr. Sinclair,

I concur that the oscillator matrix model goes a long way in explaining what may be causing the peculiarities and phenomena observed in the nature of charged particles. I do, however, believe that neutrons exist in the nuclei of atoms and are responsible for binding protons together to form all the elements larger than helium. Protons are the primary oscillator that Max Planck sought back in 1899, rather than the atom as a whole. If you check out:

http://protoncosmology.com/fundamental_mechanics1.htm you will find a detailed electrodynamic model of the proton and the inner mechanism that keeps it oscillating. There's a link at the bottom of the page to the neutron based on this model. I hope you find it interesting, if not, enlightening.

Enjoy
hoek

Delete this page

Version: Current by hoek - Oct 13 2008

Latest 3 messages about this page (6 total) - [view full discussion](#)

Oct 31 2008 by ESKI

O.K. I grant all you are saying about shell capacities, etc. and the chemistry based on these models. However, I still say that there is room for skepticism that these are the entire story or even correct other than in an approximate form.

Warping of space-time, of course, corresponds to an expression one

Oct 24 2008 by Robert Vanderhoek

Hello Dr. Sinclair,

In your kind response you say, "while my hypotheses may have little going for them than as bit of logic and some guesses."
I respond: The hypothesis I suggest is based only on the logic of the laws of electrodynamics, no guesses. The only assumption I make is in the directionality of charge along the energy-mass spectrum. Energy-mass

Oct 23 2008 by ESKI

Bob:

You may be absolutely correct: however, while my hypotheses may have little going for them than as bit of logic and some guesses. I'm not convinced that four positive charges rotating in a proton really answer anything either. Whence cometh the four charges? Where do negative charges fit in?

Basic Status Paper Reposted

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 15 2008, 10:29 am

Oscillator/Substance Model, A Theory of Everything

Summary: This article presents a view of existence as being within a substance, at its triple point, consisting of separable oscillators. As such it gives new definitions of mass, energy, force, gravitation.... Included are possible explanations of "The Big Bang," Black Holes, Neutron Stars.... In terms of this model there is also a warning of possible danger from the Hadron Collider which is due to go into operation in the Summer of 2008.

The "Oscillator/Substance Model of Everything" is a second-generation model developed from the Motion in a Matrix Model.* The key insights of that model were that the speed of light being a limiting velocity of information transfer implied a necessary medium for interaction and that the interconvertibility of mass and energy implied that they were different aspects of the same thing. The original thought was that mass coordinated to point-centered motion and energy to motion along a line. While this seems to be in general quite close to the facts, it was realized that modifying the ideas to mass being motion confined within a surface and energy being a less specific term covering all types of motion, with Kinetic Energy being the type that would be dissipated along a line would be a more usable view.

If, instead of postulating a fixed matrix of dots as a basis for a model, it be assumed that there be a basic substance which will remain at, or return to its triple-point, the problem of whether the model is dealing with a solid, liquid or gas disappears. At the triple point the substance can act as any of the three depending upon slight variations in conditions. We may even postulate that the triple-point temperature is approximately the temperature of outer space, about three degrees Kelvin.

Postulating a substance basis for everything, allows us to define a number of things which are otherwise undefined or have "circular definitions." Mass becomes the balance between the motion content of the substance within a particular surface and the remainder of the substance. Kinetic Energy is the motion dissipated into the "Bulk" of the substance when a portion of the substance within a surface changes position. Light and other electromagnetic radiation is motion within the substance. The law of forces, "For every force there is an equal and opposite force," falls into place as a statement of the fact that if there is a pressure change within one part of the substance, there will be compensatory changes within the rest of the substance. It has been long known that pressure is a force. We simply change the statement slightly to, "All true forces are pressures."

Gravitation loses its place as a force--a status which it actually never should have had. It becomes a description of the difference in pressure of the amount of the substance between two entities and rest of the substance, including that part of the substance included within the entities. Electro-magnetism is the observed result of pressure disturbances within the substance from actions and interactions between electrons and protons,

Electrons and protons are known to be the basic units from which all

<http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/browse> th

of our chemical substances are derived. That is, with the possible exception of the "short-lived" particles produced in high-energy, particle-physics experiments. In our Universe, protons and electrons occur as the decay product of neutrons. Electrons and anti-electrons also appear in "Pair-production," and disappear in "Annihilation."

Putting the above pieces together with the characteristics of oscillators, we may postulate that "Pair-production" and "Annihilation" are opposite processes. A spherical cavity oscillator can be considered to consist of counter-rotating halves, which, if subjected to enough "motion disturbance," can split into two mirror-image vortex oscillators having opposite spin orientations. If these two halves were to re-encounter on a proper axis they could recombine to the original oscillator with loss of kinetic energy. That is, postulating a basic unit of our substance as an oscillator that can be split into vortex oscillators having opposite spins, neatly accounts for "Pair-production" and "Annihilation."

As to electrons, protons and neutrons, we can account for these at the same time as we account for the "Big Bang" and the "Expanding Universe."

Neutrons fit the characteristics of a substance distorted by a shock wave such that the "front half of the unit met the back-half coming forward." This distorted unit splits into an electron and a proton. The speed of light in the substance--if light is a typical information carrier--is the average velocity in any given direction in any given instant. Therefore, it will be the average expansion velocity of the expansion phase of an oscillator, and will also be the average rotational velocity measured at the outer edge of the expansion (or contraction) phase of an oscillator. As such it will be the average velocity felt by our basic oscillators.

We may postulate that motion at above this velocity will travel as a shock wave and since "c," the speed of light, is an average, the initial velocity at the start of the expansion phase has to be greater than "c." if we consider acceleration and deceleration to be linear, we can estimate the initial shock wave from any oscillator as having a velocity of "2c" which fits fairly well with the comparative "masses" of the electron and proton, with the proton half lacking the entire distortion from a "2c" shock wave slamming into our substance acting as a "solid."

What this all adds up to is that some oscillator is operating at ultra-low frequency, very high power, and we are riding in the "Creativity out of Chaos" behind that shock wave which converted many substance units into neutrons which disintegrate into electrons and protons. The electrons and protons, vortex particles of opposite spin orientations, but very different sizes and shapes, can not stably recombine but can associate in a multitude of ways, creating everything that we know in our Universe. Presumably, on the other side of the Parent Oscillator, is an "Anti-Universe, coping in its own way with the same "Creativity out of Chaos" attempt to stabilize. At some point the shock wave will expend itself, and there will be a contracting Universe-Anti-universe set which will eventually collapse through the parent-oscillator to start the process all over again.

Our discussion above leads to the conclusion that our basic oscillators possess the ability to coordinate vast amounts of energy. Taking this into account gives a possible explanation for "Black Holes" in the centers of Galaxies. In the Creativity of Chaos behind the shock wave, some units fall into coordination with an oscillator at their exact center, and begin to move with its frequencies. Considering the characteristics of oscillators, a vortex oscillator such as a proton or electron, if passed intact through a full-cavity oscillator would have its "sense" reversed. That is, an electron would become an anti-electron, a proton an anti-proton. Since, tossed out the other side of the oscillator these could unite with "untransformed" units, oscillators operating in this way would be reducing electrons and protons back to unit-oscillators. This, however, comes at a cost. Protons, formed at distortion of smaller oscillators, would be combined into "proton-parent" oscillators, which could presumably be, in turn, distorted by shock waves into Super-neutrons, which would collapse into an anti-proton ("Super-electron")

and a "Super-proton" and so on and on and on...

When a Black Hole Oscillator has done its "trash converter" work in a volume, what would appear to a human observer would be a complete blank spot in space devoid of anything which we would consider "Matter."

One other factor in our Existence that we have not mentioned is the neutrino-anti-neutrino combination. It seems logical to guess that these are a pair-product just as electrons and anti-electrons are, and that their "parent-oscillator" is a more basic unit to our substance oscillator(s). This would be in analogy to atoms and molecules in chemical substances. These could, in turn be composed of even smaller oscillators, ad infinitum.

We consider all "matter" to be made up of protons and electrons, which combine to form atoms, atoms to form molecules, molecules to form organisms.... In this model we would not consider that neutrons would exist within atoms other than in "potential" or "evanescent" state, and consider that neutron stars and quasars would perhaps model as hugely over-sized atoms. As such they would function as "isotope factories." Isotopic distributions within galaxies may be a result of differences between the "neutron" stars active within them in the past. It should be possible to find spectra of elements including possibly trans-Uranium elements or even unknown "Trans-Uraniums" in the radiation from quasars and neutrons stars. Because of the expectation of high energy electron "orbitals" closely spaced, it can be expected that these entities will have distinctive radio-frequency "signatures."

This model, if valid, casts considerable doubt on both the validity of the assumptions behind some of the publicized experiments that are intended for the "Hadron Collider" under Switzerland and France that is due to go into operation this Summer (2008), and on its safety. If the simple definition of mass which arises in this model be valid, then the idea that something known as the Higgs Boson is responsible for mass becomes a total misconception. The idea that colliding protons with protons would duplicate the conditions before the "Big Bang" is inconsistent to this model in several ways. One is that by this model, protons would come into existence as a result of the decay of neutrons. As neutrons have a significant half-life, protons would not exist until after the start of the shock wave. As to Lead Ions, these would appear much later. However, collisions at relative velocities of close to "2c" could certainly have the potential to distort oscillators cause neutron formation and, perhaps, additional esoteric, short-lived, alternate forms of matter. Almost surely, Hydrogen would appear within the collider tube. A much more dangerous implication of this model is the idea of Black Hole formation by coordination of a circular spinning entity with an oscillator in its center. The Collider apparently has at least a couple of perfect circle dimensions which have resonance frequencies. There is a high probability that these circles will act as cavity oscillators. If one of these oscillators did come into active coordination with a central oscillator the result would be the same as is postulated for galaxies. Although on a miniature scale to a galaxy, a Black Hole interaction the size of the Collider could have results ranging from, hopefully, merely annoying "unexplained energy anomalies," to a new volcano where the Collider had been, to a coordinated vibration with the resonance frequencies of the Earth to create a new asteroid field between Venus and Mars. Although a "Black Hole Oscillator" would probably "eat" only the material within the circumference of the Collider, the conversion of that amount of matter to anti-matter would make the most powerful atomic bomb appear a mere firecracker.

The scientists working on the project apparently admit that they have no idea what will happen. I hope they remember that, in retrospect, the physicists working on the Manhattan Project realized that it was by the merest of good luck that they did not blow themselves, and the entire Chicago area off the face of the Earth.

* See "Motion in a Matrix..." on www.helium.com. Several other articles by this writer, which are posted on that web site also bear

upon ideas related to this model.

Page 4

AUTHOR'S POST-SCRIPT: After reading through the above article, the writer has realized that he has not included some things which usually occur in a modern, scientific article. There are no mathematical formulations that might not be comprehensible to everyone, nor any mention of one or more of the modern, accepted theoretical ideas such as Relativity, Quantum Mechanics, String Theory. Rather than break into the body of the above to correct these apparent flaws, there is being appended this addendum.

It has been shown in other papers that by equating the value of Planck's Constant, "h," to the dimensions of its definition, evaluating this at the speed of light, "c," one can obtain a definition of a family of dual-cavity and/or vortex oscillators defined, in set notation, by $\{m \times r = h/c\}$. This set has a central, symmetric, spherical--or ring--oscillator defined by $m = r = (h/c)^{0.5}$, where "m" is a mass and "r" is the radius of a circle or a sphere. The value of m in cgs units is about 7.4×10^{-19} g. and the value of r is about

7.4×10^{-19} cm. If one goes a step farther and writes, " $m = h/c^2$ ", one finds an associated minimal mass of about

7.5×10^{-58} g. which may be the mass of a "neutrino parent." Possibly the smallest mass of significance in our Universe.

When the accepted mass of the electron is inserted into the oscillator-family-set equation, the radius is found to be the Compton Wave Length used in Quantum Mechanics. Inspection of the mathematical definitions shows that the two are mathematically identical although their different derivations do not show this clearly.

Quantum Mechanics is said to fail at about 10^{-18} cm., and String Theory gets lost into its ten dimensions at about the same value. That is the value of the diameter of our average oscillator, a value through which all the oscillators may well invert. It is interesting that it is the point of failure of two of the widely used theoretical models. If our speculations are correct the failure of both is perhaps that their mathematics only accounts for half or less of "Reality."

In so far as the writer knows, no-one has correlated the Space-Time approach with "Q.M." Each seems to have been in its own niche. The mathematics of both, in so far as they go, would seem to be somewhat compatible with this model. Space-Time modeling seems to work quite well at the macro level despite the fact that Relativity probably only would strictly apply to communication theory, and concepts of what occupies space and what time actually is, appear to be in error. String Theory seems to depend, ultimately, on the true, but-rather-useless-in-the-thinking-of-most-people fact that the route traced by a dot moving in random fashion will follow a path which one can consider as having always being there. The 10 dimensions may arise because one can use nine dimensions of space, and one of motion, to define the actions of a dot--if one wishes to do so.

If the foregoing seems not too well explained and a bit abstruse, then, perhaps, the writer has reached the goal of writing a "modern, scientific paper." Most modern, scientific papers seem to be written in such a way that only the author and the closest associates have any idea what is going on. If they do.

On a more serious note, it can be observed that if this model be valid, it could have been put together close to a century ago, had the ideas advanced by Planck been followed up rather than those of Einstein. This writer did not realize this at the start of the path that led to this write-up. However, the initial impetus was the realization that there was an "Overlooked Obvious" in Einstein's Special Relativity, the fact that the transform equations could be generalized to fit into communication theory if one realized that "c," the speed of light was simply the limiting velocity of an information carrier wave. The theory was valid for situations wherein communication was possible or necessary; but, possibly of no

Basic Status Paper Reposted - Oscillator/Substance Theory | Google Groups

pertinence in other situations.

Page 5

Version: Current by ESKI - Oct 1110. Edit by buskirkmarbuckle - Aug 299. Edit by kedmundo1811 - Aug 288. Edit by vienoheaton1916 - Aug 287. Edit by vienoheaton1916 - Aug 176. Edit by vienoheaton1916 - Aug 175. Edit by vienoheaton1916 - Aug 174. Edit by vienoheaton1916 - Aug 173. Edit by vienoheaton1916 - Aug 172. Edit by ESKI - Aug 61. Created by ESKI - Jul 27

Page editing not supported in your web browser. Download a new copy of Firefox or Internet Explorer to edit pages.
3 messages about this page

Aug 7 2008 by Hugh V

Maybe someone would like to summarize this paper a little more. Five pages is a lot to read. It does make sense, but I think that you perhaps should take what you are doing a bit more seriously, although you are right, most scientific papers are only comprehensible to the initiated which could mean the people working in the same lab. Let us

Jul 27 2008 by ESKI

Whoops, the title is wrong, the date is in 2008, not 1998, I need to learn to type.

Jul 27 2008 by ESKI

Here is a version of the parent paper posted on www.Helium.com.

Click on <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/web/the-cur...>
- or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

Report this page Reply to this discussion Hide message box

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[« Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

Reality and Speculation in Science

Reality and speculation in science.

The writer finds himself fighting a definite problem in scientific theory. Determining what is real, that is with solidly established experimental /observational data and which is simply imaginative speculation. Some items might be considered to fall in an "in-between" category.

In Oscillator/Substance Theory, to which the writer has been a major contributor, it can be conceded that both the oscillators and the substance fall into an "in-between" category. While they neatly explain many things and fit together logically, there seems to be no direct confirmatory evidence.

The "zerotron" oscillator parent for the positron-negatron pair, which is postulated, makes logical sense; but, how could it be observed as such? One may note, however, that there is the triple set of Muons, negative, positive and neutral. If it could be shown that a negative and a positive muon would join to form the neutral form, we would have strong backing for the "zerotron" concept.

Similarly, the "Sin-Vree Entity," found at $m = r = (h/c)^{0.5}$ as a central or average unit, is a mathematical observation which may have no basis in reality or may be some sort of a mathematical construct analogous to an "average human."

The "More Accepted Theories" such as Relativity, and "Standard Model" are replete with ideas which are spoken of as fact which should be considered with considerable skepticism. Neutrinos were originally postulated to account for anomalies in conservation of momentum. While there are some bits of data which are attributed to them other than momentum deviations, they should most likely be considered in the "probable, but somewhat questionable" category." Neutrons, as such, as units within nuclei have been considered as fact for 3/4 of a century. This writer is skeptical that they exist as such in nuclei and suspect that this is a false assumption which has led to other false assumptions.

Quarks, gluons, gravitons, etc. are spoken of in the literature as if they were proven entities. There seems to be no experimental backing for any of them. Writers do not seem to realize that, at this moment, they are only imaginative speculation

While the various "fundamental particles" of the meson type seem to be real enough, there seems no reason to consider them as "fundamental." There is as much, or more, reason to consider them as alternate forms that arise from the experimental conditions.

Quantum Mechanics is a mathematical mode. However, one "top scientist has been quoted as saying, "Outer space may be filled with Quantum Mechanical Froth."

One can continue with examples. The point is that we must continuously reexamine our work to determine what is valid and what is what we hope is valid, wish were valid, or someone else assumed to be valid.

Jan 10 2009 by ka-sala

(RE. Eski-ka-sals)

To: Oscillator/Substance Theory

Hello Eski,

Just going over a couple of pointers here re. one of your earlier discussins..."Reality and Speculation in Science' and your comment on 'taking with a grain of salt.'

Just as you found your SciScoop front page a great boost (An Intertwined Universe,) a publisher purchased my "OSCILLATING ENERGY in

Oct 19 2008 by ka-sala

So long as you keep Oscillating, that's the main thing!

Regards,
ka-sala

Oct 16 2008 by ESKI

Hi, I have posted some comment essentially about "taking with a grain of salt" almost everything in science. DLS

Version: Current by ESKI - Oct 16 2008

[Gmail](#) [Calendar](#) [Documents](#) [Photos](#) [Reader](#) [Web](#) [more](#) ▾

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance + Theory

Neutron ala O/S Theory

Neutrons ala O/S Theory

In the current version of the O/S Theory as published by this writer, the neutron is considered a distortion product of the "zerotron" oscillator, presumably the most "stable," simple unit into which that parent unit can be converted. That is a unit which is stable with respect to many units of what we might call "electron-motion time."

This unit would be expected to be the unit formed when conditions within the nucleus of an atom approach the conditions wherein neutrons were originally formed, or conditions wherein greater symmetry/stability can be attained by removal of such a unit.

If one wishes to correlate a "neutrons within the nuclei" idea with other O/S Concepts one could rationalize neutrons in nuclei on the basis of of electron time spent within the "shell" of a proton, with each "proton" having an equal chance of momentary synchronization to a "neutron-type-state."

The picture that arises of the neutron is of a dual-cavity, concentric oscillator, with what might be called a "Proto-electron" vibrating in a relatively narrow shell about the central " $(h/c)^{0.5}$ average" and a "Proto-proton" vibrating through a much wider range across the same central "entity." The synchronization of these two concentric oscillators, with the one-- the "Proto-electron--" vibrating about 43 times as fast as the other, would be the "neutron."

When the "neutron" oscillator "falls out of sync," the proto-units collapse to the vortex oscillators, electron and proton.

This is imaginative speculation based on a certain amount of logic; however, the foregoing should not be taken as established fact, to add another "fable" to the neutron legend. [See paper, on this site, "Neutron Facts and Fables."]

Delete this page

Version: Current by ESKI - Oct 16 2008

1 message about this page

Oct 16 2008 by ESKI

Here is more comment on the neutron. It could be called a sort of current update on the paper, "Neutron Facts and Fables." DLS

OBJECTIVE INTELLIGENCE -

☆☆☆☆ (1 user) [More options](#) Oct 18 2008, 4:04 pm

Hi, Ka-Sala:

You definitely add a different voice to this dry scientist's group
The logic is there, I think. You have a habit of blowing minds, but
much of what you say fits in with the Oscillator/Substance ideas...
The idea of there being something inbetween "no. and thing"
parallels, in a rather poetic way, the concept of the the negatron-
zerotron-prositron triad. Here we are. with that ubiquitous number
3 showing up again!
Hang in there and keep writing! Eski (Dean Sinclair)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 18 2008, 8:31 pm

Thank you Eski for your encouragement.
Yes, it is good 'logic' to 'think' sometimes! Can we escape 'what
is' ?

ka-sala

[More options](#) Oct 20 2008, 11:07 pm

Robert Vanderhoek [View profile](#)

Hello "ka-sala"

You've posted alot of stuff requiring many answers, some of what you say
seems to be just word play. I believe zero is zero, no matter how many
times you multiply or divide it. At first I thought you were kind of a
wizz-bang, but I read several of your works on Helium.com and realize you
are a genuinely good and wise person. Some of what you say seems to be more
numerology than science. Some of it, however, seems to touch on basic
concepts that are true, like all of creation being circular. It's more true
than you know, Irrira. The 3 is the states of matter, positive, negative
and neutral. You seem to be more transendental than scientific. It may be
the feminine right side biased thought process versus the left handed
testosterone biased interperatation I percieve. If you're truly interested
in the circularity of the universe, please check out:
http://protoncosmology.com/omniscient_correlation.htm and let me know what
you think, you too Dean, It's base 10, a truely anthropomorphic
interpretation. There's some real coincidences there.

Enjoy,
hoek

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 22 2008, 1:16 am

Hello hoek,

Yes I have been including some snippets, from the Report I mentioned
in my profile. I appreciate you writing. I guess the way I determine
science, is a bit different. But my conclusions are what matters,
which seem to tie in with most of what is going on in the universe!
(and here.)

My belief re. zero is that we would have no beginning without it, so
the fact that it exists, is good enough reasoning for me to understand
this theory. I respect what you believe.

No... what-ever a wizz bang is, it's taken me 45 years to come this
far, and never giving up. But I'm the first to admit I have to work on
English words to understand them enough to use.

I have a way of seeing things from all angles - so even words or
numbers must come into play - scientifically speaking. I am the first
to agree my 'approach' may be a little unconventional, but it is the
conclusions which counts. So maybe the more we all get to know each
other's ways, it will help to understand our differences.

We are Oscillating all the time, but I'm not a spinner of yarns, just
freedom of speach and equations, as well as expression. My simple
approach saves a lot of complexities...

I do know how true the circular concepts are... these are dealt with +
diagrams in my Report also. It is really an amazing
link, that you should ask me if I am interested in the circularity of
the universe. It's amazing all this I did in the 1970's!
I may not quite speak your language - nor that of the group - but I am
honored to be a part of you all. Eski peronally invited me, and I have

OBJECTIVE INTELLIGENCE

3 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 17 2008, 10:01 pm

OBJECTIVE INTELLIGENCE .

Beginning the search on the theory of everything. Some-one with a great mind once said that, "we only begin to learn when we realize we know nothing." At least this is a good beginning, to start searching for something. So lets start with Zero. Graphically written as 'O'. Oh...? Oh, is usually the point of realization. Well that's a start.

We realize there must be 'something'. So, if we just keep the word 'thing', and instead of 'some' added to it, lets try simply to add 'every'. Just like that we have 'everything.' Is this how the theory of everything came about? There is another way. Stay with just the words we started with. 1=nothing. 2=something. 3=everything. The word 'thing' remains constant, but we have 3 x equations, in the 'no'-'some'-and 'every'.

Lets see where all three bring us to. We can dissect them. 'No' can be used in many ways. Without getting to bogged down in the many, lets stay with the simple. No means no. No thank you. No good. No way. It has to be the negative or opposite to to a positive. No votes... out... gone! So just for now, lets see it as a three-way 'thing' we are looking at. As given above.

From 'some', we reach an unspecified of 'something'. Anything! OK... another tiny word has popped in: 'any'. So we don't have to get ourselves in a knot as to what this 'any' is, because it can be 'anything'. Anything of 'something.' It's somewhere in between, 'nothing' and 'everything'. Lets just call it the link to, or the neutral equation, of the three. Would it be right to even be so bold as to think that some where between 'nothing' is 'something'? Sounds to me me we are getting somewhere.

Already there are 'links' to just the word 'everything'. I wonder if we were to be even bolder, as this is a theory of science, to assume that 'every' (being an individual part of a group of anything,) becomes the entire, complete of no+some=every? So 'every' is within either a range of being 'no' or the 'some'. Obsolete, or Absolute. One could use the 'no' as the negative and change it to the positive, by saying "no, it is not Obsolete !" Or, change the positive to negative and say " no, it is not Absolute !"

So really, 'no' is the Di-no = twice, double, twofold, the combining factor like two atoms, the radicals. Like the group dioxide. The root or basic principle, or the foundation. The free radical leading to group = 'some'. The Radical sign becoming the square root of an equation. All this out of just the word 'no'! Leading to combinations of 'x = some'. Which in essence there can never be 'nothing'. Because in the very word there is already the beginning of 'something'. So 'nothing' can ever be obsolete, as there is always 'something' equal to it's joint equation.

It's like a tree without roots. We would not have the branches. But if there was no tree, somewhere there has to be its equal, so in essence, there is 'no' one tree, but at least 'some' other trees. If you think this is a bushman's approach to the equation of everything, stick around. Even one tree, has many branches, elements: and in itself is

OBJECTIVE INTELLIGENCE - Oscillator/Substance Theory

'everything' about what a tree is absolutely supposed to be! Just in a mere 579 words. $5 + 7 + 9 = 21$. The key to this is 3. Just in these 579 words we've gone from 'nothing' to 'everything'. We were using only 3 equations. This 3, is the square root of 9

The absolute sum of units. The Pi of mathematical total. The total is more than one, it has become 'some thing', and in this case the total or absolute of it's square root. In all this, we have been dealing with equations. From 'nothing' to 'something' to 'everything'.

In the Pi, the Greek 16th letter of their alphabet, we have the ratio of the circumference to the circle. O Oh! The ratio is interestingly, a transcendental number, having a value rounded to 8 x decimals. (The figure 8 next to that of O, are the two most complete of all 9 numbers.) Our figure 9, the end of where the decimal, or deca, can only go into the 10's. But... without getting too caught up in all this headiness which began out of 'nothing' = O is a bushman's equation of the transcendental value being rounded to 8 x decas = the ratio itself : a transcendental number having a value rounded to eight decimal places. That's Greek!

So, let's do it in double Dutch for short. We can reach a transcendental decimal quantity just by 16 to the valance of 8: eg. 3.4437376 doing the same, which becomes a transcendental value of 8 decimals. That's pretty close to the Greek of 3.14159265. That's only 0.302145 difference. The key to this = 6. Is this the missing link within the theory of everything?

Now look into the word, and the theory of traveling at 2 x speed of Light.
See DOUBLE THE SPEED OF LIGHT – TIME TRAVEL - ka-sala

OK, there can be 'nothing' beyond the transcendental... or can there be? That must be the Ultimate, Absolute, the 'everything' where we began this theory. How many pages does it take science to come to this conclusion? This has been 2 paragraphs over 1 x A4. We've come full circle. That has to be 'everything'. We come into the circle. The 360 degrees. This too = 9 where the square root is 3! Coincidence?

Now tell me how a tree isn't 'everything' of that tree. Tell me it grows at infinitum to it's absolute, given the chance to survive. Yet, it doesn't go anywhere but back to where it came from, rotting into the ground it grew out of. Becoming in time, 'one with'.

'Everything' is linked. No element can be without it's link to the other. Don't let me start on the elements, or I'll be singing the music of the spheres. The harmonics of everything related to it's vibrational force. I repeat... 'Everything' is linked. You cannot have Light without Sound. Sound without Vibration. Vibration without movement. Movement without.... Well, just one tiny example. So 'minor' it's almost musical!

O no... Did you know that Nobelium, the Element, was named after Alfred Nobel, of the 'Nobel Prize'? Everything is linked. Even Names, and Human Beings. Nobelium's atomic number is 102. This means the key to this number is 3. Didn't we start off with three equations in the search of the theory of everything? If I keep going at this rate we will have started on 'Everything is Linked Theory!' Sorry... Fact. By the way, I might be a bushman. I have my University Degrees. But remember me if there's a Nobel somewhere in here... Please? It's over to you now. Over and out to the theory of everything.

Maybe with just 3, 6, $9 = 18 = 9$ we cannot escape the square root of the double Dutch 3 in 1.
Sounds a bit like a Holy Trinity! The Holy Grail? The Whole thing from nothing to everything theory.

*S & SOTU = Degree in Sanity, and Science of the Universe.

needed 'minds' to communicate with.

OBJECTIVE INTELLIGENCE -

Not because I will always be seeking answers, but to share our theories. If I seem more 'transcendental' that is only because I have been one of those quiet flies on the wall with eyes and ears, and very little to say, compared with a lot I have witnessed in my time. It's easier to fit into places when you are as small as me!

As for my 'feminine side' I can't help that. That's how I arrived on this planet!
Thankyou for your interest, and as with Eski, I am pleased to meet you.

I will, as I am able, go through all you have asked of me/us...http://
protocosmology... I need a little time for this, and will eventually
get back to you. The only thing which would prevent me, is if I am
called away from this planet! So be patient with me, and thanyou again
hoek,
Regards

ka-sala

[ka-sala](#) [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 19 2008, 12:21 am

TRAVELING ON ONE WAVE LENGTH

Anyone... who thinks they have the answers to the link between all things, is missing out on the journey.
This exercise is called 'Think'.

These Graphics by word, are imprinted in the mind, and simply expressed as a dot within a circle. Throwing Light on the subject = the Point of Light being the Graphic Point. The Circle, is it's Telegraphic Wave Length as received and Projected in Radionics! It's Form can be likened to the Radar. This is known as it's Resonating Factor.

Telecommunication is the result within this Resonating Field, which is... its' Electromagnetic Field. For now... between us.

The expression 'O', is used when realization of a point is recognized. Recognition is made and the word is clear through Contact. EG. Through expression, I want you to understand this point. From my mind I give expression through the words I use. If you are receiving them as intended, you will notice there is a certain Sound to what I am saying.

The Sound is being received by you, in a sound you recognize. If this is the case, we are on the same Wave Length. You are hearing what I am saying without me even opening my mouth. In Graphic Form in Light - you read me! - through your screen. Think carefully! The only point I am trying to make is...Contact!

In Amplifying that point in your mind, you have received, in recognition of the Sound. Simply because it has been Modulated to your own Wave Length which I use. Think. If I talk on One Wave Length so there is no confusion, the Sound of what I am saying should be clear.

See this 'O' in a Light of Light Rings. Imagine every time you open your mouth to speak, it emits rings of Light. Just for now - as a diagram cannot be included here - see yourself as 'the dot' within the circle. You... emit the rings. You... are the point (the dot,) from where it begins Emission. You... are the diagram. Your concentration is to 'see' this in your Mind's Eye, as much as it is my Mind communicating with yours, through Ultra Sound!

This Light Radiates outwards in Pulsating Rings of Light. The Circle of these Rings being their Radionic Boundary. The Sound of these Emissions bounce off their Circumference and return... to you. It is Energy from you via. the Sound in Light of your Emissions.

Being Light within the Sound, every time it Reverberates, it Oscillates back to it's central point and bounces back to the Circumference (boundary.)
This sends out Rings of Light at the rate of 360 Rings per. Second. At this Specific Speed the Rings of Light are Projected one after the other.

Consider this. A Tube is formed by these Rings at this speed, making

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

DOUBLE THE SPEED OF LIGHT - TIME TRAVEL

Options

3 messages - Collapse all - Report discussion as spam

ESKI [View profile](#) ★★★★★ (1 user) [More options](#) Oct 18 2008, 3:56 pm

Ai, ai, ai; Ka-sala, Amiguita mia,
Again, you give us something to think about! definitely differemt than my dry prose!
Eski

On Oct 17, 10:13 pm, ka-sala <irrir...@gmail.com> wrote:

- Show quoted text -

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#) [More options](#) Oct 18 2008, 7:46 pm

DOUBLE THE SPEED OF LIGHT
We need something that is easy to maneuver. It's called a Time Machine. It must at least travel at 6 x Earth's Given Time. For every six days man would take to travel in, we would take one for the same distance. The Distance is then derived from the Given Speed of Earth days that man would take to travel in 6 days: traveling at 24 hours non stop around the clock.

EG. At only 100 km.. per hour. X 24 = 2,400 km. per Earth day. X 6 = 14,400 km. Per Our Day.

This is the Given Unit, the Sum Total of Given Distance in Time, in One Unit.
Repeat.

Answer = 14,400 km. Transversed in 24 Hours of earth Given Time, at 100 km. Per hour only!

The Circumference at the Earth's Equator is +- 40,075 km. At this Specific Speed in Time this would measure just over 2 and a half times round the world in 24 hours at 100 km. per hour!
In Fact..Consider this...in Light.

Distance = Time to Transverse
Speed = .Energy required to Transverse.
One Solar Day = 1 Revolution of Time.

Repeat.

One Solar Day = 1 Revolution of Time. (ie. Earth's Time.)
This is the Given Specific Speed, which remains unaltered with Time.
Thus the Energy generated is Light Wave.

The Distance Transversed in One Day of Our Given Time.

Universal Law means the Energy you only term as a word, which we term as an Energy Force, by word of Love. It too has a Positive and a Negative Pole. You have known also of the term Hate. If you know this Energy Force, you will know its' constructive and destructive Elements. 'Earth' it into the tri... Utilize it in full !

Thus his Radius of Time Travel is given only within that of his own Given Field. Further than that he cannot go. The answer is very simple. In his own given speech termed Air Force, he has not yet earned his Wings!

Home

Discussions

+ new post

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



View this group in the new Google Groups

Sponsored links

[Distance Business Course](#)

Earn a Bachelor Degree in Business

Administration Online. Apply Now!
www.ECPI.edu/Business_Admin

[Reliant - Official Site](#)

Reliant's Weekly Email Helps Manage

Energy Use & Spend. Sign Up Now!
www.reliant.com/energywise

[Save On Caribbean Trips](#)

Get Up To \$500 Off Vacations With Cheap Caribbean Coupons!
www.SelectAware.com/Cheap-Caribbean

[See your message here...](#)

Now that you have been briefed only to these Specifics, who amongst you will help build your Space Ark? If any amongst you believe this not to be true, then you have not the knowledge to look further into the facts. For right now Man is polluting the very Air Waves he needs to even get him off the ground! That is, his own Atmospherics. You cannot reach for the Stars if you only leave your people to die on your own Planet.

I leave you with this to see for yourselves, your own Specifics. Only then will you see Ours in the Light of the Speed we have given to you here. This, is one for your Next Generation Propulsion System.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 18 2008, 8:19 pm

Hello Eski,
Different approach only. I believe it to be a jig-saw piece of your 'Space-Time and O/S Theory' Discussion. The old elephant story, and who is seeing what from where! It's a subject matter worth every piece. Never think your prose are dry. You are the Professor... I am just alien! Have made slight change + correction in 2nd. post

'Universal Law means the Energy you only term as a word, which we term as an Energy Force, by word of Love. It too has a Positive and a Negative Pole. You have known also of the term Hate. If you know this Energy Force, you will know its' constructive and destructive Elements. 'Earth' it into the tri... Utilize it in full !'

On Oct 19, 7:56 am, ESKI <deanlsincl...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[» Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

TRAVELING ON ONE WAVE LENGTH -

clear passage-way for the Sound of Light to travel in. So fasten your seat belts and come with me as we travel along this Tube!

At 360 Revolutions a Second we have traveled up to 21,600 in one minute.

.....1,296,000

in one hour31,104,000

in 24 hours

Remember this is Light we are traveling in, and at the Speed of Light that is some Distance! With the only example being the Sound within the Light. Plus One Wave Length. There is no other in which to travel at this Ultra Sound Specific Speed. We have used the Pure White Ray, yet utilized the Fusion of all Seven Rays. How?

Stay in your Mind's Eye here. The Tube acts as a Protective Barrier to any harmful Radio-Active Elements, giving safe mode of travel. As the White Light acts as a Repellent, it pushes aside the Ultra - the Black Light - forming the passage-way for clear travel.

But! The Black Light closes in from behind after we have passed through, acting as a Propellant from behind, increasing the Specific Speed of Propulsion. This... is traveling at Double the Speed of Light.

It is also the Oscillating Factor of, being the driving force, coming from in front to, behind, all the way through, within this action of Propulsion. Nothing is wasted in this System. All Energies are utilized, safely.

Liken it to this as an example.

An Electromagnetic Field Force has been created due to all Energies within the Sound of Light which is Movement. Because this Energy pushes aside - lets say water (the sea,) - the Object of travel would not get wet.

The Electromagnetic Field would keep its' Radius free of water, even though, the water by it's own Replacement would close in, at the back, and, as a Wave Force, project our Object of travel with an extra Boost of Propulsion.

From here is the preceding Discussion... Here the 'Revolutions' and 'Specific Speed' are explained.
DOUBLE THE SPEED OF LIGHT - TIME TRAVEL.

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 19 2008, 12:41 am

ZERO DISSECTED

Zero = Nothing, right?

So... No Butter, no eggs no apple no 'what'? No Zero? What does Zero look like? That is not nothing but a symbol of something.

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO Here I have 24 Zeros I can, divide them up! The division of 6 into these 24 = 4. Four Zero's is not nothing but something. I can already see where this is leading to. It's leading to 'the theory that everything is linked.' That being the case makes it possible to have the theory 'Devision by Zero, is possible.' Because in essence, there can never be, 'nothing.'

But what about divisions by Zero. Say the temperature was - 80 degree Zero. If I was to divide - 80 by + 100, should the answer be, + 20 ? Or is this addition. Lets take a really good look at Zero, a word, representing a set point of measurement. Yet when it is measured in temperature, Zero = either side of heat and minus heat. That would be like saying there is nothing below Zero. Does that make sense to your mind? Below Zero is freezing. Absolute freezing! And 'absolute' is not nothing.

Ground Zero? That, is no-where to go but, down to ground level. Zero Gravity? Doesn't this mean this is gravity's vacuum. Vacuum 'can' mean empty. Yet even empty can be 'full of air'. That is not Zero Air. Zero, is also a measurement, used in aerodynamics, absorbing the air which is driven at a velocity through, as in a wind. Here, we have all the sounds different 'pitches', reaching into ultra sonics, may be 'without sound to the human ear'. That is certainly not without speed, but more like, super sonics.

Zero has been used in many ways. It is a precision point in adjusting the sights, for fire arms, in order. to shoot directly at the desired point. Lets bring our sights back to Zero and look at it in the direction of what we have already. You ask me where I'm heading with this? 'Z' is the English last, or 26th letter of the Alpha/bet. Alpha is the 1st. Letter of the Greek. So I 'bet' Zero can also be found in all frequencies, in one way and another. We can go into the frequencies of all sounds, whether phonetics, or even amplifiers. Even the O/S theory.

Of course if we bring it back to the Zero = O - like it or not - with a name, which already means something. Repeat again; it cannot be nothing. So without going into all the brain groping explanations whether via. science, mathematics, algebra or simply the fact that we say in the 'sound' of 'O' when realizing something in (Oh !), the reality of Zero. Basically speaking with all it's quantified reasons for 'just being what it is', is found within the field of 'sound' vibration. ... as a division of, in any given direction needed within it's sights to be divided up into.

Mmmm... we are back to Zero hour. No more Time. But wait! This is a set time. Beyond this, Time, still carries on. If I keep going we'll find Zero in Time Travel and end up in Outer Space. I think I have dissected Zero! Is that division possible?

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 23 2008, 12:52 pm

[- Show quoted text -](#)

I am in complete agreement, Zero is not "nothing." By giving it a name, it becomes "something. It is the starting point, the marker for turning around, etc. Hey. why am I saying anything, you said it all...DLS.

OSCILLATING ENERGY - IN A GRAIN OF SALT

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 19 2008, 2:22 am

OSCILLATING ENERGY – IN A GRAIN OF SALT

Alternative fuel ? A new millennium ? Once the designer of a water fueled engine, was bought out, to 'shut up!' So now that we have turned over from then, where the price of fuel has caught up with the purse strings of every one except who holds the purse, why not try salt water? They look at Solar Energy, and that's good.

Consider just for a moment the availability of salt water. Or just salt? This Oscillating Energy running off the Ionic O/S is as cheap as it gets these days. The Solar Energies are drawing up from the earth - where once were seas of their own nature - the salt of the earth. People, are now learning their rivers and water-ways need to be 'managed.' Such things, as water, was just taken for granted. But it is the salt which is of interest here.

Saline gels, of different ratios, are used for something as simple as an Ultra Sound, and we see, the babe within the womb. Such are the properties of salt's worth, conductively speaking. It permits an easy flow of electricity - energy - and ultrasonically speaking, via the Energy of Sound as well. Sound, is only vibration of anything which moves. So salt being the conductor-transmitter, it's very make up is already charged with its own ions; it's own live particles, as crystals grow.

How come 'SALT' are the very letters, 'Strategic Arms Limitation Talks' ? Even the word 'strategic' is of importance, as is, 'specific'. Is it coincidental that it should fall into being just 'SALT'? No it's not. It was very specifically thought out, as any army would, the nature of their strategies. Just as a salt crystal is a very specific symmetry. It is a perfect 'cube'. What's all this got to do with alternative fuel ?

Already the Energy of just this Specific, has been extremely, briefly, mentioned. Imagine if we were to go into the whole Strategic Force found within all this, and put it together to become an alternative fuel. Are there any grains of SALT ' Strategic Alternative Litigating Terminology' floating around out there in their own Oscillating way, under their own Ionic Atmospheric Pressure who can work on this Grain of Salt Fuel?

A bushman agent, could be the guiding intelligence back into the archives. As all good Strategic Talks about the 'foot prints' of the tracker, could only lead them to the source. Like a horse to water, you can't make it drink... but it does like a lump of salt. So even if you take this attitude, to an alternative fuel is with a grain of salt, you have begun to think. Don't underestimate even what you cannot see... yet.

It took Leonardo da Vinci 500 years for others to realize his Science! Please stay with me a bit longer than that? Let me know, won't you, because nothing can have the power to be combined with salt, and not have Energy enough to fuel even the visionary ions, of so much wasted fuel, and not be electrified by it.

All for the sake of... 'ease up on the black gold, and try the white!' It might just be another perfect solution - can you 'hear this word, Solution' - to the perfect Cubic Crystal, of what they are looking for.

After all, it could even be the very vehicle to drive enough brains oscillating out there into action. Maybe I should put on the brakes here, before the world is ready for this fuel. You might even find something as good as Germanium or the Silicon Chip's worth... Oh no, where are those brakes! At least I know where the Light Switch is.

MANY OSCILLATIONS - CONNECTIVE ISSUES

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 19 2008, 9:52 pm

MANY OSCILLATIONS – CONNECTIVE ISSUES

Dealing with something as normal as pitchblende, seems of little import. Ships of the past, and even some of the present, patch holes with Pitch. Yet the Pitch Element is very significant. Being a Carbonic Element it is linked to the Transition Element from which Uranium is derived. The Radio Active Element, Radium, is its Principle Source.

Nuclear Transition creates change - transforming in the configuration of an Atomic Nucleus - and changes its Energy level by the Emission of Gamma Rays. These then emitted by the Nuclei of Radio Active Atoms during Decay. Gamma Rays may be 'history' to Science, but we started this O/S Theory with the discussion, of a Space Ark, in 'Double the Speed of Light – Time Travel' So here, we will be Oscillating between the Science of the past and now, this Space Age.

There are Nuclear Links to what was 'Noah's Ark' (past,) as was 'instructed'. To line the Ark with Pitchblende. It was shown by one who once reconstructed this Ark, exactly as given, that it had all the attributes to a "Space' Craft. You cannot have a Space Craft without the Oscillation Substance... and in this case, we carry on... from the Pitchblende, and the Nuclear Transition.

These are the Elements here within the Electro-Magnetic Field of Quantum Mechanics. The Quarks, the indestructible Particles which reproduce themselves, every time they are split. Like it or not, believe it or not, no matter how much Science divides and brakes down, it cannot be subtracted from the fact that the Quarks can be 'likened' to the Amoeba of Cosmic Energy. A Nano of this Cosmic Energy is touched on in my Profile.

Right now, even beginning to the end, is Oscillation, where all Core Essences have the Di or Twofold Function to become Tri, or three. As simple as the analogy might be, we have a Third - the Quark of Life as a Human - reproducing itself. Despite all the Interactions of the Nuclei and Cells Transitioning, the beginning and the end of this process, is, it has created the final stage. A multiplication of itself. As equally as the Cell of the Human works likewise, though with many name Components.

It doesn't change within the multiplication of the Cell from it's Amoebic State. This Plasma Essence - the Amoeba Quark - only becomes more fluid, throughout the process. We do not need to go off into another subject of Chain Reactions here where 'Everything is Linked' but simply to say, everything contains its Core Essence Element. It begins (taking on a word to name it,) to where it ends, and in between the changes and words become another Nano (or billion) of Expressions in the Process.

So many Elements are over-looked which are so relative in the O/S theory, where Science seeks beyond that of themselves. The average Earth Being, 'forgets' that even they are of their own Solar System, and made up of almost all the Elements of their own Sun. Even by using their mouths through words, they are Radio Active, Oscillating between Creative and Destructive. Creative has just been mentioned

MANY OSCILLATIONS - CONNECTIVE ISSUES -

above, in one form, graphically speaking.

But destructive... how? Blow a fuse (or temper,) and watch the Chain Reaction it has on those around you. The 'blast' can have an effect for hours, days, and weeks... and in some cases, even a life time! Human Radio Active Radiation and Solar Storms can interrupt a whole household, community, and even beyond. It is again purely touching on the Positive Negative issues of all things connected. It is only again using the O/S between whatever any two Poles are, which must have a 'Balance Factor' - call it Neutral - here.

In truth when it comes to the Earth Science, we call it the Equator, or Equalizing Factor of this Planet. Otherwise it would be in a very Negative State if it was not 'Earthed'. It's Oscillating Substance within it's Axis would have spun right out of control! Here within the Axis, this Substance, is called, it's Light. But because it reaches into the Oscillating Substance of 'Black Light' it is not visible to the eye, yet could not spin without this. It is no co-incidence that the Axis has tilted more and is!

Our concern is the Negative Forces which are man made, which are the Destructive Elements, and his inability to deal correctly with the Science he already has at hand. That of the Nuclear Physics, and what he does not know. The Nuclear Waste is Oscillating like a Time Bomb within the Earth's given Field, while man searches the Stardom for Life. At the very same time Life as he knows it is caught in the web of his own incapability. Time... is not on his side of what he does not know, 'how' to fix in time!

THE PROTON and The Sixth Element of Action

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

[ka-sala](#) [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 30 2008, 3:47 am

THE PROTON and The Sixth Element of Action

Which-ever particle we speak of, they are all inter-linked. To some, this may be more metaphorical, and to others, analogies. Maybe... a hint of insight. This should not subtract from a broader perspective into a subject which is a 'pin point' in the Cosmos. It requires the mind's eye to expand and be the chameleon, to see it from 360 degrees. And to become the eagle, which can fly above it, around and below, looking into it from all angles. We are looking at a Sphere Shape. Let's liken it to a circular, or 'round' egg, taking into consideration it's layers.

The nucleus (the Proton,) being the Positive Charge, can be the yolk. Around it, is a membrane: call this, it's Magnetic casing. The white of this egg we can call the the Neutron; the uncharged Elementary Particle. The inner casing of membrane within the outer shell, we'll call the Negative Electron. The stronger outer Shell... the Positron's 'antiparticle' of the Electron - or Positive Charge - of the antielectron. From it's Core to it's Shell, we have the amazing Proton! Five elements. It 's Positive, Negative and Neutral + it's own two inner Electromagnetic sheaths.

You have to be that fly on the wall with a thousand microscopic eyes to see what can be seen. It is teeming with Oscillating Energy. Within the Proton, which requires it's combined Electromagnetic Field, is the key to the whole Force (Energy.)

So why does it 'need' the introduction - or bombardment - of the Electronically charged Positive and Negative to act as a bolt of lightning, to kick start this Proton in the direction of it's given automatic field? The answer to this will be seen in the 'Core' or it's Coaxial State. From here, Energies must Polarize. All Elementary Particles are linked, in order to carry out this total process. It's a Fusion of the Elements within their own Given Field. It provides the very Energy required for it's own Electromagnetic Field within its own Quantum Leap.

Remember... within this Proton Spherical Shell was found five Elementary Particles at work. The Proton, Neutron and Positron. Plus the Dual charged Electromagnetic sheaths/membranes within, making up the five. Within is stimulation - Oscillation - the Electromagnetic Charge interacting within its equal charge within the Proton. The result being - a Current - the Electronic Charge, of all five bound within each other which creates a sixth. The 'Universal' Charge or Frequency found in all. The O/S Theory of Everything.

Think of the Proton being no different on a Universal Scale. Liken it's use as a Proton of the Cosmos. Extract one in your mind's eye - the Proton - and see it in it's Elementary Position of it's own Electromagnetic Field, where at all times it's own momentum is from it's N-S Poles (+ it's equator E-W.) Bound within its' own Magnetic Field of Opposites, which Polarize and double the strength within the core/axis.

THE PROTON and The Sixth Element of Action

If two 'donut shapes' were only seen from one dimension, where they overlapped slightly in their rings, it would appear as one big donut with a dent in the top and bottom (or it's N-S axis.) And the overlap of the two could be seen also. Now... shut off your mind's eye to the fact of where they overlap. Know... they are at work, but out of sight, even though 'within' this Core, you know they overlap. But... if these donut shapes were seen from the multi-directional - say 'above/below/around the 360 degrees' - they would be as multiple arcs. All forming the same interaction within the 'unseen' at it's 'dent'. Here... is where the Fusion of being Polarized, within the core/axis/vortex., their Energies interact.

Now expand your mind's eye again here. See these multiple arcs, (not as 'donut shapes',) but needle fine Rays of Light Energy, all forming the same 'dent' where they interact, where you cannot see, in it's co-axis of Polarization. It's 'Engine' is the central core/vortex, where clock-wise and anti clock-wise, it's Energy is Permanently Oscillating to the Vibrational 'hum' of the Electromagnetic Force 'within its own bounds'. Together, this would then be the Universal Proton, within all Cosmic Coaxial Equations.

To go any further, would be to multiply their Wave Action, where all 'dents' run in and through their Cosmic Energy.

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#) [Report spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 30 2008, 3:53 am

Slight alterations re. wording, of same subject matter in this 2nd. post.

THE PROTON and The Sixth Element of Action

Options

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 27 2008, 8:04 pm

Ka-Sala-

I think that in this essay you are coming awfully close to defining some sort of "proto-oscillator" perhaps, a "proto-on." The physical description comes close to descriptions of a toroid, pseudo-sphere which would describe very closely what I would envision as the shape of most of the tiny dots making up the "Substance." The only "criticism" I have of the essay is that the word, "Proton," appears to have two different meanings and apply to two different things...

Cheers. Eski

[- Show quoted text -](#)

[Reply to author](#) [Forward](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Oct 28 2008, 10:50 pm

Helo Eski,

Thanks for such an instant reply... What ever you feel about the 'two different meanings' can you suggest how you would word this? In essence, seen from the description of a toroid - it would then separate 'your proton' view into two different meanings - or application of two different things. As the toroid 'coils' do not intercept, or become the coaxial of the polarization of energy, it would leave the axis/vortex void of the dual energy concept. By bringing in the Cosmic Coaxial Equation it is merely extending the 'idea' of this oscillation still within the proton, and the light, into the Harmonics (as I did mention the 'hum' of this Oscillation.) So... maybe 'somewhere in here' you can 'see' the dual energy created. Maybe I've taken it a step further?

Try 'not to see' the 'hole' in the donut, but rather, just the 'dent' with the knowledge that all this Coaxial Polarized Energy is Stabilized, through Fusion, within. Not void, even though it can also be termed 'a vortex'.

It's a bit like, going outside at night into complete darkness, and 'seeing nothing'. But when holding a strong light beam up into the air, it is teaming with life and particles. Sometimes... I say the atmosphere is 'thick' tonight, as I can see and feel what others with me can't. Just another analogy!

Let me know further though how you 'see' more into this essay, and as I asked, 'how would you word it'?

On Oct 28, 12:04 pm, "dean sinclair" <deansincl...@gmail.com> wrote:

AKA - "Some Basic Background Ideas"
Six Steps From Communication Theory to the Big Bang

Eski - Nov 4, 2008

The following "Steps to the Big Bang" covers the basic logic of "Eski's Version of O/S Theory." as expressed in papers published on Helium.com and the Google Group, Oscillator/Substance Theory. It is roughly what some brilliant scientist might have done. The meandering path followed by the slow-thinking, semi-senile old man who actually did this theory work was far from this clear cut.

Step I. Communication theory notes that the maximum velocity that information will be carried in any direction is the average velocity of the carrier wave in that direction whether the carrier wave be made up of Pony Express riders or electromagnetic radiation. Whatever be the case, information travels in packets transferred from one unit to another.

The speed of light is the maximum velocity of information transfer in our Universe. It may, therefore, be considered as the average velocity in any direction of the motions of whatever be the basic units of the substance of our Universe.

Step II. Basic physics theory suggests that rotors spinning with a tangential velocity of the speed of light, "c," would be able to pass information tangentially in any direction at that velocity.

Step III. Planck's constant which relates vibratory motion at the speed of light to "Energy." a packet of motion, has the dimensions of angular momentum, hence it is related to rotary motion.

Setting Planck's Constant, "h," equal to the three variables which make up its definition-- mass, radius and tangential velocity, gives the equation $m \times r \times v = h$.

Evaluating this at the average v of c we get $m \times r \times c = h$ which rearranges to $m \times r = h/c$. This two variables equal a constant is the Law of Levers which is defining for a teeter-totter, or any other kind of oscillator. This particular equation defines a family of oscillators which would have the average at $m = r = (h/c)^{0.5}$. The value of this expression is approximately 4.7×10^{-19} centimeters or grams, in the cgs system of standards.

This gives a picture of our basic rotors as having an unlimited number of possible shapes, sizes and masses, but all would have their average as noted above and it can be expected that, in an ultimate compression, could be reduced to units of this mass and radius whether they be an electron or a universe.

Step IV. Matter is composed of electrons and protons. These, logically should be, members of the above oscillator family.

The electron and anti-electron seem to be a "balance pair." The "annihilate" to give off energy, the same amount of which is necessary to cause "pair-production" in which they can be formed. This can be explained if they are counter-rotating, "vortex-oscillator halves" of a cavity oscillator which can be split into them and into which they can revert if they line up along their common axis of rotation. Coming together they would cause a disturbance at right angles to the axis along which they joined.

"Annihilation energy" is known to be annular, i.e. given off in a ring disturbance in the "substance." This is consistent with the above idea.

We, therefore, can consider the electron and anti-electron--the negatron and positron--as halves of a neutral unit which we may dub the "zerotron." We have not yet accounted for the proton and the neutron. As it is known that the proton and electron are the products of the "decay" of the neutron, it seems logical, in light of our reasoning above, to consider the neutron as a variant of the zerotron, a dual oscillator, which can collapse to an electron and a proton. A "proto-proton" would take the place of a "proto-anti-electron" in this variant of the zerotron.

If we consider the kinetic equation expressions for the anti electron and the proton and their different masses, we reach an interesting conclusion. If the velocity of an anti-electron were decreased to about

1/43 of its original velocity, an anti-electron could be converted to a proton if its kinetic energy were converted to mass. This could happen if a zero-tron unit were to encounter a situation wherein "the back coming forward met the front coming back" with the back-half taking the mass increase. That is in the size/motion deformation one might have an explanation for neutron formation.

Step VI. Looking for some event, or idea, that would possibly produce neutrons, we consider the concept of the "Big Bang"

in terms of an "Oscillator/Substance Reality." The "Big Bang" would appear to be the inversion instant for an oscillator, the moment at which the oscillator changed from a compression mode to an expansion mode. An ultra-low-frequency oscillator operating in a substance made up of oscillators, some of whom were our "zero-trons" could conceivably account for the neutron formation.

the logic for the above statement is this: If the average velocity of motion within the substance is "c," and we assume that the average acceleration/deceleration is constant, then the initial velocity at inversion is 2c. Now a motion at 2c moving in a medium having an average motion velocity of c would ride as a shock wave. Such a shock wave would flatten any properly oriented units in its path.

The zero-trons converted to neutrons--in our half of the postulated "Universe/Anti-universe" Oscillator--would collapse to electrons and protons. As these have opposite spins they set up opposite disturbances in the substance/medium, causing them to "attract" one another. However, they cannot stably interact but can associate. The result of these associative interactions and of the natural tendency of any substance to balance all motions throughout can be seen as the reasons for the "Creativity out of Chaos" in the Universe riding behind the shock wave.

Delete this page

Version:

Current by ESKI - Nov 17 2008

1 message about this page

Nov 4 2008 by ESKI

Click on <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/web/some-basic-background-ideas> - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

A Constant's Secrets, a Different Look at Planck's Constant -

Note: The following article was published on the Science News Site, SciScoop in the Physics Section. Much of this information has been published on our site before; however, this is a bit more focused.

A Constant's Secrets, A different Look at Planck's Constant

Nov. 24, 2008
by E2k111

For the last hundred years, in plain sight, there has been hidden within a Constant of Nature, important information about the Universe within which we live.

Planck's Constant was discovered in the early 1900 by Max Planck. In all the time since, however, it appears that no one has taken the time to ask some logical questions about the Constant. Some of these questions are the following: The constant applies to the relationship between Energy and frequency of electromagnetic radiation, so what is it operating on to connect Energy and frequency of radiation? Why does it have the dimensions of "Action" or "Angular Momentum," therefore it is logical to ask, "On what is the action occurring? What has the angular momentum?"

Running these questions around brings to mind the thought that if this factor, Planck's Constant were an average amount of action in any direction, or the average angular momentum in any direction--apparently the same-- of units making up reality, then that average unit would appear as a constant.

Planck's Constant is used at the speed of light, another Constant of Nature. Could the thought that perhaps Planck's Constant is an average value of a characteristic of some unit of existence also apply to the speed of light? Could the Speed of Light also be connected to something related to rotating units as Planck's Constant may well be?

This last speculation fits in very well. The Speed of Light is the maximum velocity of information transfer. Information transfer in any direction from a point has a maximum--and in practice, unattainable--velocity of the average velocity in any given direction of the velocity of the "packet carriers" whether these packet carriers be Pony Express Riders, Sound Waves or Electromagnetic Radiation. The Speed of Light would make sense as the average velocity in any direction at any time of the units, rotors(?), acted upon by Planck's Constant.

Acting on these ideas, Planck's Constant is an average angular momentum and the Speed of Light an average velocity in any direction, we can try some mathematical analysis.

Let us set Planck's Constant, (h), as the constant value into the form equation, $K=xyz$. In this case, let Planck's Constant be " K "; " x " will be an instantaneous mass, " m ," rotating at a distance, " r ," from the center of rotation, and the third unknown be an instantaneous velocity, " v " measured at that distance, "The Tangential Velocity." We write, " $h=mv r$." Since " h " appears to be necessarily valid only at the speed of light, " c ," we evaluate $h=mv c$. Since " c " itself is a constant, the ratio of " h " and " c " is a constant so $mr=h/c$. This two unknown equation can be said to define not only a variable area but also anything which varies in this manner. One such is the Law of Levers in Physics. The numerical values of " m " and " v " can be interchanged, hence this little equation could describe something moving back and forth between two limits or two "states." Such motion is called "oscillation" and something acting in such a way is called an oscillator. We can say that the equation, " $mr=h/c$ " describes a family of oscillators. Since the values of m and r can be switched, they can also be equal to define an "average" oscillator when $m=r=(h/v)^{0.5}$. That is when the numerical value of the mass equals the numerical value of the radius and each equals the square root of the ratio of Planck's Constant to the Speed of Light. Inserting the value of 6.63×10^{-27} erg. sec. for Planck's Constant and 3×10^{10} cm./sec for the Speed of Light we get a value of approximately 4.7×10^{-19} cm. (and 4.7×10^{-19} grams) for the "dimensions" of the average oscillator defined by the " $(h/c)^{0.5}$ Constant."

It is interesting that this value, 4.7×10^{-19} cm. as a radius is almost exactly one half of the diameter at which the Strings of String Theory are said to disappear into a "10 dimension hole." Also, Quantum Mechanics is said to fail at below the same distance.

At this point we suggest that the basic units of our existence are tiny oscillators half of whose existence is unknown and unexplored as it lies below the "threshold" of $(h/c)^{0.5}$.

Perhaps we should close this little essay at this point and hope that the reader's curiosity has been aroused enough to follow up. There is much more that can be said

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Web more ~

deanlsinclair@gmail.com ~

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance + Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

An Intertwined Universe?

This little article was first published on SciScoop where it made the "Front Page." It is based on ideas from this site.

An Intertwined Universe?

A mathematical treatment of two constants of the Universe leads to an interesting conclusion.

Maybe string theorists are not so far off base as one might think in suggestions of an intertwined Universe. The following bit of dealing mathematically with two constants of nature suggests something of the same thing.

Combination of Planck's Constant and the Speed of Light can be carried out by setting Planck's Constant equal to its definition as an Angular Momentum evaluated at the Speed of Light to give the equation, $m \times r = h/c$. In words, the mass of a rotating object (m), multiplied by its distance/radius (r) from a center, is taken to be equal to Planck's Constant (h) divided by the Speed of Light (c). This can be shown to be a defining equation of a set of oscillators. We can go on to write, $m=h/c^2$. This will define a mass moving at a radius of "one light second" from the center at a velocity of the Speed of light. This mass figures out to be about 7.4×10^{-48} grams.

If we guess that this mass may be the smallest mass detectable in our Universe--perhaps the mass of a neutrino or of a "zeroino," a postulated neutral "parent" to the neutrino and anti-neutrino--then this mass would be the detectable "rest mass" in our Universe of a particle having a radius of 3×10^{10} cm. If this be an oscillator of the above family, $m \times r = h/c$, then the other, unseen limit (undetectable in our Universe) will have the opposite absolute values, a mass of 3×10^{10} grams at a radius of 7.4×10^{-48} cm.

What would be the lightest, biggest unit would also be, in its "alternate reality," the heaviest, smallest....

If we truly do exist in a substance whose basic units are such as this describes, it may be expected that the Universe in which we exist could definitely be very intertwined.

Delete this page

Version: Current by ESKI - Dec 1 2008

Latest 3 messages about this page (5 total) - [view full discussion](#)

Jan 1 2009 by ka-sala

A 'PS' Eski,
I cannot help but read between the lines of this article of an Intertwined Universe - that you have read my mind - of my article, 'Traveling on One Wave Length'? Facts are you have been able to translate this science of mine into the earthlings jargon, and keep it all connected. Thanks for this... it should help

Jan 1 2009 by ka-sala

Hello Eski,

Home

Discussions

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



[View this group in the new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Show Your Ad on Google](#)

Promote your Business and Get More Customers. Try AdWords Express

[Google.com/awexpress](#)

[Get Free Unlimited NNTP](#)

Our Big Gig Plan Will Blow You Away

Secure, Fast Downloads, SSL Privacy

[www.Easynews.com](#)

Group info

Members: 15

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)

[> Physics](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

[> Chemistry](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

[change categories](#)

[More group info »](#)

Recent pages and files

[Essentials of O/S](#) Aug 23

[The Electron and Pr](#) Aug 9

[Possible Script for V](#) Jun 10

[Congruent Parallelo](#) May 4

[O/S and the Periodic](#) Mar 10

[o-s-and-th](#) Oct 28

[O/S, Space-Time an](#) Oct 15

[Some Constants of t](#) Sep 22

[Letter to "Sophie" at](#) Aug 20

[The Hadron Collider](#) Aug 6

Just going over a couple of pointers here re. one of your earlier discussins...'Reality and Speculation in Science' and your comment on 'taking with a grain of salt.'
Just as you found your SciScoop front page a great boost (An Intertwined Universe,) a publisher purchased my "OSCILLATING ENERGY in

Dec 29 2008 by dean sinclair

Thanks for the boost! Guess what! I got another one on that front page, too. The article , Negatron plus Positron equals "Zerotron?" I screwed up the title somehow when I posted it on our site.... The title on SciScoop is the right one.

Thanks. also for the "Scientist of the Year Christmas Greeting!!!

[2 more messages »](#)

[Reply to this discussion](#)

[Report this page](#)

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Web more ~

deanlsinclair@gmail.com ~

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Positron plus Electron equals Negatron?

Options

1 message - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)ESKI [View profile](#)★★★★★ (1 user) [More options](#) Dec 10 2008, 3:53 pm

This little article was posted on Sci-Scoop. Although the subject is mentioned in other papers on this site, it is felt that the idea might deserve attention by itself. Also, I am posting bits of the theory from this site on Sci-Scoop as a "spreading of the word" so to speak.

$$e^- + e^+ = e^0 ?$$

A little manipulation with the idea of "electron-anti-electron annihilation" leads to the consideration of there being a neutral combination particle.

The electron and anti-electron (negatron and positron) are known to combine and disappear. This gives off energy as "annular radiation" and is known as mutual "annihilation." However, let us take a look at the situation.

Let us assume that an electron spinning in one direction along a given axis at the speed of light, meets an anti-electron travelling at the same velocity with the opposite spin orientation. As the velocity of each, in opposite vectors is "c" the speed of light, the Kinetic Energy of each is $(mc^2)/2$ and the Energy expected to be dissipated in the "head on collision" is mc^2 . However--believing Einstein--we see that we still have at least one " mc^2 " worth of energy unaccounted for as each of the two participants in the "collision" had this much Energy ascribed to them.

This indicates that there is enough Energy remaining for a particle of the same mass as either of the originals to have survived the collision. A logical thought is that, rather than a "mutual destruction," the negatron and positron simply did a "Yin-Yang" combination to a neutral unit dumping excess rotational motion as "annular" (ring-form) radiation into the plane in which they met.

There is another known process, called "pair-formation" in which radiation above a certain threshold, the same Energy as the annular radiation, will, under certain conditions, lead to the appearance of an electron and a proton. It is reasonable that a "parent entity" of the "positron and negatron," which we may call a "zerotron," " e^0 ," could be an explanation for both phenomena.

A neutral entity as a "parent to a positive-negative pair" is, of course, known. The neutron is the "neutral parent" to the electron and proton. It is not too far-fetched to suggest the following: $e^0 + Energy \rightarrow n^0 \rightarrow e^- + p^+ + Energy$. That is, that under some condition the unit which we are postulating as the predecessor of the electron and anti-electron may be converted to a neutron which then splits to the electron and proton.

Along the same lines of reasoning we may note that the Muon comes in three forms, positive, negative and neutral. Has anyone checked to see if the positive and negative forms combine to form the neutral one? Probably that would be very hard to check.

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)[Edit my membership](#)[Group settings](#)[Management tasks](#)[Invite members](#)View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

View this page "Quantization: A 3-D Merry-go-round?" Options

4 messages - [Collapse all](#)

ESKI [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Dec 29 2008, 5:03 pm

Hi. this is a copy of a submission to Sci-Scoop which, apparently, they don't know what to think about it. It takes two negative votes to discard a submission, and a net of 4 positive votes to publish it, That's the supposed rules, So far it seems to have gotten nothing but abstentions, which would mean that people reading it don't know what to think. I need a few buddies in sci-scoop.....

Cheers and Happy New Year. ESKI

Click on <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/web/quantiz...> - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't work.

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jan 1 2009, 9:55 pm

Hello Eski,
Having just read through this submission... it sounds very close to the whole concept of mine just submitted to this group. PEACE is an OSCILLATION SCIENCE. Can you see the connection I do?

As for you needing votes for the SciScoop... can you in any way tie the whole context of what we are both saying - though we have used different terms - and help your Sci-Scoop 'understand better' what to make of what you were and are trying to say?

As I have said... you have the language; and I'm only trying to say, I 'understand where you are coming from.' It all links... Try linking up (I don't need to be included!) but just for others in your Sci-Scoop. It 'might' just throw a bit more light out there for them on your submission?

Good Luck
ka-sala

On Dec 30 2008, 9:03 am, ESKI <deanlsincl...@gmail.com> wrote:

- Hide quoted text -

> Hi. this is a copy of a submission to Sci-Scoop which, apparently,
> they don't know what to think about it. It takes two negative votes
> to discard a submission, and a net of 4 positive votes to publish it,
> That's the supposed rules, So far it seems to have gotten nothing but
> abstentions, which would mean that people reading it don't know what
> to think. I need a few buddies in sci-scoop.....

> Cheers and Happy New Year. ESKI

> Click on <http://groups.google.com/group/oscillatorsubstance-theory/web/quantiz...>
> - or copy & paste it into your browser's address bar if that doesn't
> work.

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

About this group

[Join this group](#)



View this group in the new Google Groups

Sponsored links

Article Submission

Manual Article Submission Service
100% Unique Quality Work @ Low Rate
ArticlesHighway.com

Starburst Magazine

UK's longest running sci-fi mag
450+ pgs and all totally Free
www.starburstmagazine.com

Easy and cheap Ggle Ads

Cheaper clicks and Ad creator.
Try our App for free for 14 days.
www.admanago.com

[See your message here...](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jan 1 2009, 10:12 pm

PS! me again Eski...
Does this mean we/l have to join Sci-Scoop too...(if you need a few buddies?) Or can we just comment/vote?
ka-sala
(Forgive all the replies... should have checked my spelling before posting. ENGLISH is not my best subject!)

On Jan 2, 1:55 pm, ka-sala <irrir...@gmail.com> wrote:

[- Show quoted text -](#)

dean sinclair [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jan 2 2009, 5:44 pm

To "help out" on Sci-scoop you'd have to join. It's similar to joining the osci/sub site, as far as I know its an open group. I joined several years ago but was very inactive for years as my first submissions got "shot down" immediately.

As to the Circle of Peace, I'll have to mull that over a while, maybe I could come up with something to submit as philosophy which actually is where you are coming from.

Burr, it is COLD here! Tomorrow, I won't be able to do what I did last year on Jan. 3, I told them that I just "turned 67, today." darn it though, I'll have to wait nine years to tell people that "I just turned 68, today! :)) Cheers. ESKI

On Thu, Jan 1, 2009 at 9:03 PM, ka-sala <irrir...@gmail.com> wrote:

> PS! me again Eski...
> Does this mean we/l have to join Sci-Scoop too...(if you need a few buddies?) Or can we just coment/vote?

Nope, don't think so.

[- Show quoted text -](#)

End of messages

[« Back to Discussions](#)

[» Newer topic](#) [Older topic »](#)

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Gmail Calendar Documents Photos Reader Sites Web more

Sign in

Google groups

[« Groups Home](#)

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

Quantization: A 3-D Merry-go-round?

Quantization, a 3-D Merry-go-round?

Certain considerations about a possible structure of the Universe suggests that a constant speed merry-go-round may be an understandable model for the fact of "Energy Quantization."

On many children's playgrounds there is a simple spinning device which children can jump on and off of and move toward the center of to make it go faster or move out farther to slow it down. If one had a large enough group who wanted to keep it at a constant speed, they could do so by giving it enough push all of the time to compensate for friction. If one jumped on the whole group upon the merry-go-round device, could move toward the center to keep the device from slowing down. When one jumped off, everyone still on could move out slightly to keep the device from speeding up.

The moving of one of our children from the outside group which is trying to maintain the merry-go-round device at a constant speed to being on the merry-go-round and what would happen on the merry-go-round and to the group outside, corresponds very closely to the phenomenon of "quantization." The child climbing on corresponds to "a quantum of energy absorbed," the merry-go-round gets heavier and must contract to keep rotation constant, the outside "crowd" expands slightly. When a child jumps off, there is a "quantum of energy" given off, the group on the device expands slightly and the outside group becomes more tightly packed. The readjustment motions in both cases would be somewhat of "wave-motions."

As there are indications, in at least one theoretical approach, that the basic units of our existence may be constant-speed, constant-torque oscillators, we can extend the children's playground device to three dimensions, have the rotating object which we are seeing quantum interactions upon be made up of a group of these oscillators--as if the play ground device were made up of children--and the surrounding crowd be other independent oscillators.

In other words, absorption of a quantum would correspond to additional oscillators moving from the external group to the central rotator which we are observing. Emission would correspond to an oscillator disengaging. The electromagnetic radiation which is involved corresponds to the readjustment of the two groups. Observed, of course, in the external group.

As the oscillators appear, at least in our universe, to be constant torque--that is having a constant ability to push or pull one another--which is independent of radius, and to have a constant spin, or spin average, this "3-D-Merry-go-round as a model seems to be quite reasonable.

Version: Current by ESKI - Dec 29 2008

Latest 3 messages about this page (4 total) - [view full discussion](#)

Jan 2 2009 by dean sinclair

To "help out" on Sci-scoop you'd have to join. It's similar to

Home

Discussions

[About this group](#)

[Join this group](#)



View this group in the [new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[How to Do Meditation?](#)

Did You Know That Meditating Just 15 Min a Day Could Change Your Life

www.SilvaLifeSystem.com

[Theory at Park & Bond](#)

Shop the Newest Menswear Styles by

Theory. Get Free Shipping Today!

www.parkandbond.com/Theory

[Alternative Energy](#)

BP is investing in business and technology to deliver clean energy.

www.bp.com/energymix

Group info

Members: 15

Language: English

Group categories:

[Science and Technology](#)

> [Physics](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

> [Chemistry](#)

[Science and Technology](#)

[More group info »](#)

Recent pages and files

[Essentials of O/S](#) Aug 23

[The Electron and Pr](#) Aug 9

[Possible Script for V](#) Jun 10

[Congruent Parallel](#) May 4

[O/S and the Periodic](#) Mar 10

[o-s-and-th](#) Oct 28

[O/S, Space-Time an](#) Oct 15

[Some Constants of t](#) Sep 22

[Letter to "Sophie" at](#) Aug 20

[The Hadron Collider](#) Aug 6

joining the osc/sub site, as far as I know its an open group. I joined several years ago but was very inactive for years as my first submissions got "shot down" immediately.

As to the Circle of Peace, I'll have to mull that over a while, maybe

Jan 1 2009 by ka-sala

PS! me again Eski...

Does this mean we/I have to join Sci-Scoop too...(if you need a few buddies?) Or can we just comment/vote?

ka-sala

(Forgive all the replies... should have checked my spelling before posting. ENGLISH is not my best subject!)

Jan 1 2009 by ka-sala

Hello Eski,

Having just read through this submission... it sounds very close to the whole concept of mine just submitted to this group. PEACE is an OSCILLATION SCIENCE. Can you see the connection I do?

As for you needing votes for the SciScoop... can you in any way tie the whole context of what we are both saying - though we have used

[1 more message »](#)

[Sign in to discuss](#)

[Report this page](#)

[Create a group](#) - [Google Groups](#) - [Google Home](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

©2011 Google

Two Energy Expressions Interact?

A "reversed viewpoint" of the momentum expression leads to a new energy expression and a new view of Mass and Energy.

The integration of the momentum expression, $mv=p$, mass times velocity equals momentum, with mass considered constant leads to the expression for Kinetic Energy, $KE=(mv^2)/2$. This is well-known.

Apparently overlooked is the fact that since " $mv=p$ " is an equation of the type, $xy=K$, that is two variables equal a constant, it can just as legitimately be integrated with velocity considered as constant and the mass allowed to vary, to obtain an analogous, "Alternative Energy" expression, $AE=(vm^2)/2$.

Since mass and velocity can both vary it seems reasonable to consider that a true energy expression would take into account both of these expressions. One way to do this is simply to write $TE=KE+AE=(mv^2)/2+(vm^2)/2$. We simply assume that a "True Energy Expression" would be the sum of the two alternative expressions.

Assuming that a quote attributed to Albert Einstein, "Mathematics is the Reality," has a degree of pertinence here, let us look at what conclusions may be reached by checking out this expression in various situations. Three situations come immediately to mind. Where the energy expression will equal zero, where the energy expression will be at some maximum, and situations in between where whatever the expression applies to is a constant value, neither a minimum nor a maximum.

In the first case, we write, $0=(mv^2 + vm^2)/2$. For the entire expression to equal Zero, both expressions would individually have to equal Zero, or the two would have to be such as to cancel. Let us consider this possibility.

We can remove the expression $(mv^2)/2$ by multiplying both sides of the equation by " $2/mv$ ", leaving $0 = m + v$. Therefore, $m = -v$ or $v = -m$. In either case, mass is a vector, directed quantity, opposite in direction from the velocity considered.

For an Energy Maximum situation, we can assume both Energy Expressions to be in the same direction and both maximized. That is we hit maximum velocity, the system could go no farther and additional energy on the same vector appears as mass. As the mass increase will change both of the expressions they will maximize at a point of maximum velocity in both expressions. As "c," the Speed of Light" is generally considered to be a limiting velocity in our Universe, we assume that the limiting velocity is "c." We can therefore write, Maximum Energy equals $(mc^2)/2 + (cm^2)/2$. We have noted that the two expressions must be equal, we also remember that "mass" can be considered a "velocity" vector. Hence, $(mc^2)/2 = (cm^2)/2 = (c^3)/2$, and our maximum energy content appears to be c^3 , for any system which we wish to analyze.

As this would be the maximum energy directed in any direction, it would be the maximum energy which can be directed outward from any point, or inward toward any point. In oscillatory motion, this would be the directed energy content at an extreme of vibration or pulsation.

Energy may perhaps be considered a "3-D Motion Package" of which mass is one dimension. Any three numbers multiplied together define a volume....

A common, and interesting, situation would be where the Energy Content is a constant, neither Zero nor Maximum. In this type of case, wherein mass and velocity can vary, but momentum and total energy content cannot, we have defined oscillatory or orbital motion. As mass increases, velocity decreases and vice versa. we can rewrite our summary equation in several ways, considering that $mv=p$ we can write, $E = (pm + pv)/2$ or $E/p=m+v$, or $E/mv=(m+v)/2$. There will be some point at which $m=v=p^{0.5}$. At that point, when we put it all together we find that $E=p^{1.5}$. ($E=(p)^{3/2}$).

Another interesting situation would be wherein all motion was in the $(vm^2)/2$ package. The forward motion is a constant, but what does our motion package now mean? Forward motion is of a point along a line. If forward motion be static, then we can consider motions referenced to the point on the line. That is, as Kinetic Energy is known to reference to the motion of that point along a line, the AE expression would apparently apply to motions, i.e., vibration and rotation, referenced to the point, vibration/rotation/pulsation, etc. within the body/entity/system which we are considering.

Google groups

« Groups Home

Oscillator/Substance Theory

Search this group

Search Groups

PEACE...is an OSCILLATING SCIENCE

Options

2 messages - [Collapse all](#) - [Report discussion as spam](#)

ka-sala [View profile](#)

[More options](#) Jan 1 2009, 8:57 pm

Peace within a Wave Band? It is a Frequency, a Vibration; just as one could say the Frequency of Music, the note, Middle C = 440 Oscillation per second. Middle C to the 7th note is a Scale in Music. All sound has a Frequency, a Vibration, and in vibration there is movement. This is the Peace Wave. With the Earth's population by November 2008 up to 7 billion, it seems against all odds for peace to spread at all.

There is movement in the air, and the pace of life is trying to keep up. But something has to give, and underneath it all, the work goes on in peace and quiet. The Radius of the Earth also fits close to the figure 7, in 7,000 Km. upward to it's Outer Sphere. Just for some understanding of where this is leading to, keep the 7 in mind. There are also 7 Rays withing the visible Light Spectrum.

See this Light as a Vibration from the Circle of the Earth, working inward to the Center as Waves. This is just the opposite of the pebble effect where waves form in rings from the center out. The Earth is 40,075 Km..at it's circumference. (The Numerical Key of this figure is 7!) Think of this Wave Vibration being like Surround Sound if it helps understand this as vibration.

With these circular waves growing smaller in circumference as they grow inward towards the center, when meeting in the middle, one flash of Light will Amplify; Radiating the Earth with the Peace Wave, and no-one will escape... their 'own' silence. So where's the big bang gone? Out the window of theory, where more than the eye can see, and 'some science' has been overlooked.

Analogy.
If there were only 10,000 peacemakers, and each being a Time Capsule of 440 granules which have their own specific time to trigger, would be their Oscillation/Vibration per. Second (using the Middle C's analogy.) That would make 4,400,000. per minute = 264,000,000, and in 1 x hour = 15,840,000,000. When brought up to 24 hours = E 380.16 at infinitum. (E = a Transcendental Number.) Reaching into the Ionosphere, are also Electromagnetic properties.

A phenomenal build up is created in closing in, where Oscillation simply would be a literal Vibrational explosion of Brilliant Light at the central point. One might even say it would take 7 days of a week for the Peace Wave to form needle fine Sky High (to the atmospheric halo,) Light Works, enveloping the Earth. Within this time, Time as it is known, would stand still. No-one will be able to speak with awe; and the result will bring, Peace. A Big Silence in place of a big bang!

So despite how far fetched the whole concept of peace on Earth is within these statements; you may just get a glimpse of how. For Science of the Stardom is one thing which is yet to be learned here on earth, and is not ancient rockets, piggy-backed up to the System of it's Sun. Peace, is an Oscillating Science of Life, in as much as a Way of Life.

Home

Discussions

[+ new post](#)

Members

[About this group](#)

[Edit my membership](#)

[Group settings](#)

[Management tasks](#)

[Invite members](#)



[View this group in the new Google Groups](#)

Sponsored links

[Buy Vibration Balancers](#)
Cost-Effective Solutions From a World-Class Manufacturer. Call us! [www.Balmacinc.com](#)

[Test Equipment - MAT](#)
Sales, Rental, and Calibration of Test & Measurement Equipment [www.mattestusa.com](#)

[Vertical WaveIP Support](#)
Remote Config, Support & Training, Immediate Service. 10 Minutes Free!
[truedataonline.com/remotesupport](#)

[See your message here...](#)